

Web: www.bcasonline.org eJournal - www.bcajonline.org













Pre - Budget Memorandum 2022-2023





BOMBAY CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS' SOCIETY

Managing Committee

6 6	
President	Abhay Mehta
Vice-President	Mihir Sheth
Hon. Joint Secretaries	Chirag Doshi
	Kinjal Shah
Treasurer	Anand Bathiya
Ex-Officio	Suhas Paranjpe
	Raman Jokhakar
Members	Anand Kothari
	Bhadresh Doshi
	Divya Jokhakar
	Hardik Mehta
	Jagadish Punjabi
	Kinjal Bhuta
	Mahesh Nayak
	Mandar Telang
	Mrinal Mehta
	Rutvik Sanghvi
	Samir Kapadia
	Siddharth Banwat
	Vaibhav Manek
	Zubin Billimoria

Taxation Committee (TAX)

Chairman	Deepak Shah	
Co-Chairman	Anil Sathe	
Ex-Officio	Abhay Mehta	
	Mihir Sheth	
Convenors	Divya Jokhakar	
	Hardik Mehta	
	Vishesh Sangoi	
Members	Anil Doshi	Kinjal Bhuta
	Anuj Gupta	Kinjal M Shah
	Ameet Patel	Kirit Kamdar
	Akshata Kapadia	Kishor Karia
	Ayesha Aziz	Krishna Upadhya
	Bhadresh Doshi	Mayur Nayak
	Bhavik Dholakia	Nilesh Kapadia
	Chaitee Londhe	Nilesh Parekh
	Ganesh Rajgopalan	Pradip Kapasi
	Gautam Nayak	Rajni Shah
	Hemant Kadel	Sanjeev Lalan
	Jagat Mehta	Saroj Maniar
	Jagdish Punjabi	Sharad Sheth
	Jhankhana Thakkar	Sonalee Godbole













President Abhay Mehta

Vice President vp@bcasonline.org

Hon. Jt. Secretaries Chirag Doshi js⊚bcasonline.org Kinjal Shah is1@bcasonline.org

Anand Bathiya treasurer@bcasonline.org

03rd December, 2021

Smt. Nirmala Sitharaman Hon. Union Minister of Finance Ministry of Finance, Government of India, North Block, New Delhi 110 001.

Respected Madam,

Sub: Pre-Budget Memorandum 2022-23

We take this opportunity to present a Pre-Budget Memorandum on Direct Taxes with a request to consider the same while framing proposals in the Finance Bill, 2022 for amendments to the Income-tax Act, 1961.

The nation is looking forward to proposals aimed at reducing litigation and compliance costs in the field of Direct Taxes.

We request your honour to consider this Memorandum favourably. We will be happy to present ourselves for any explanation and clarification that may be required by your honour.

Thanking you,

We remain,

Yours truly,

For BOMBAY CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS' SOCIETY

CA Abhay Mehta President

CA Deepak shah Chairman **Taxation Committee**

CA Anil Sathe Co- Chairman **Taxation Committee**

CC:

The Prime Minister's Office

Dr. Bhagwat Kishanrao Karad, The Minister of State, Ministry of Finance

Shri Pankaj Chaudhary, The Minister of State, Ministry of Finance Shri Debasish Panda, The Finance Secretary, Ministry of Finance Shri Tarun Bajaj, The Revenue Secretary, Ministry of Finance Shri J.B. Mohapatra, Chairperson, Central Board of Direct Taxes

The Member (Budget), Central Board of Direct Taxes













BOMBAY CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS' SOCIETY [BCAS]

Pre-Budget Memorandum on Finance Bill, 2022

Contents

1. General macro level changes	
2. Salary	
3. House Property	
• •	uctions
7. Revision	
8. Set Off and Carry Forward of Losses	
	33
	44
	47
13. Threshold limits & time limit with Due Date	52
	ransactions (SDT) 60
	61
16. International Taxation	64

1. General macro level changes

Sr. No.	Existing provision under the Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestions
1.1	Tax rates for non corporate tax payers	Recently, tax rates for corporates have been reduced and MAT rates have also been reduced. However, the rates of tax for non-corporates, such as LLPs, partnership firms and AOPs, continue to be high. Similarly, the tax rates for individuals earning high income are also exceedingly high. Capital gains, other than those under section 111A, 112A or 115AD, are also subject to high surcharge applicable to individuals.	It is therefore suggested that the rate of tax (including surcharge and cess) for all non-corporate entities (including LLPs and AOPs) should be brought down to 25%. The tax rates for individuals should be reduced, say to maximum 30% (including surcharge and cess). Also, the maximum rate excluding surcharge, which is presently applicable for income over Rs 10 lakh should be triggered only at a much higher base, say Rs 30 lakh.
1.2	Long term capital gains on sale of listed equity issues	There are certain unaddressed issues in cases of inheritance, amalgamation, and demerger that would result in unwarranted litigation. While grandfathering as on 31 st January, 2018 is available for listed shares acquired prior to 1 st February, 2018, there is no clarity in situations where the shares have been received on or after 1 st February 2018 by virtue of holding in listed company shares prior to 1 st February, 2018. For instance, shares received by way of inheritance, bonus shares or shares issued on merger/ demerger after 31 st January 2018.	It is recommended that all shares received by virtue of transactions covered under section 49 should be eligible for grandfathering under section 55(2)(ac) (such as shares received on inheritance, shares acquired on merger/ demerger).

Sr. No.	Existing provision under the Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestions
1.3	Income Computation and Disclosure Standards (ICDS)	The introduction of ICDS has only added to the compliance burden on certain tax payers without actually increasing the revenue collection. Ind AS is anyway applicable to a large number of companies. Conceptually, tax should be paid on income and logically the income should be that which is in the books of accounts, especially if they are audited and maintained in accordance with generally accounting principles, except to the extent of adjustments on fair value accounting which does not either cause income or create losses in a recognised sense, as required under IFRS or Ind AS. ICDS has only succeeded in introducing significant complexity in the computation of income. Further, the ICDS are inconsistent with the concept of real income. In most cases, the main objective behind enacting the ICDS seems to be to prepone the taxation of income.	The ICDS should be scrapped with immediate effect. Instead of having separate computation standards in the form of ICDS, it would be advisable to identify items under Ind AS that do not meet the criteria of real income or accrued expense or loss and the relevant section(s) in the Act could be modified to require adjustments to the declared profits for all items as identified above, so as to reflect only real income.
1.4	Payment of advance tax – section 209	The threshold limit of INR 10,000 for payment of advance tax as per section 208 has been last amended by Finance Act, 2009. Considering the inflation in the economy, there is a need to increase	The threshold for payment of advance tax should be increased from the present Rs. 10,000 to Rs. 1,00,000.

Sr. No.	Existing provision under the Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestions
		this limit to a more realistic figure. Further, the requirement to pay 15% advance tax for non-corporate assesses by 15th June causes unnecessary hardship, since it is extremely difficult to estimate the total income for the entire year within a mere 75 days from the commencement of the financial year. The hardship is further compounded by the levy of interest u/s. 234C for shortfall in the instalment of advance tax paid.	The requirement to pay 15% advance tax by 15th June for non-corporate assesses should be removed.

2. Salary

Sr. No.	Existing provision under the Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestions
2.1	Interest on self- assessment	Because of short deduction by the employer on	Interest u/s. 234B and 234C for short deduction or
	tax	account of change of employment, many times the	deferment in payment should not be charged to
		excess tax has to be paid in form of SA Tax by the	employees on account of failure of deduction on the
		employee.	part of employer.

3. House Property

Sr. No.	Existing provision under the Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")		Suggestions
3.1	Section 23 New clause be inserted to provide deduction of maintenance charges paid to Society, federation etc.	In most urban areas, maintenance of building is undertaken by the society, federation, company or common body and the expenses for such maintenance are substantial. The same need to be allowed as deduction against rental income so as to ensure that it is only the real income that is brought to tax. There is a spate of litigation that prevails in the country on account of this item of expense. Amending the law and allowing a deduction for the same would lead to considerable reduction in litigation.	Contribution towards maintenance charges actually paid to society, company, federation or common body should be allowed as deduction.

4. Business Income and Expenditure including deductions

Sr. No.	Existing provision under the	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestions
	Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")		
4.1	Explanation 2 in sub-section (1)	As per the Companies Act, 2013, it is mandatory	There is a strong need to revisit this provision and
	of section 37 provides that any	for specified companies (as per Section 135) to	companies should be allowed 100 per cent
	expenditure incurred by an	spend 2% of their average profits towards	deduction of CSR under section 37.
	assessee on the activities	Corporate Social Responsibility. These expenses	
	relating to CSR referred to in	are all connected to social and charitable causes	
	section 135 of the Companies	and not for any personal benefit or gain. It is,	If at all required, necessary safe guards may be

Sr. No.	• •	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestions
	Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")		
	Act, 2013 shall not be deemed to be an expenditure incurred by the assessee for the purposes of	therefore, fair to allow the same as business expenditure. There is no bar on allowability of CSR expenditure falling under other sections like	incorporated.
	the business or profession and deduction shall not be allowed.	35, 35AC etc.	
4.2	nature or of deferred revenue nature are considered as capital in nature and are disallowed. They are not allowed even by way of	first column suffers permanent disallowance resulting into higher tax liability in the hands of an assessee. Though there are several decisions allowing depreciation on some of such expenses, in the absence of a clear legislative framework, it leads to increase in litigation. In order to simplify the computation of business income, such expenditure requires to be allowed either as revenue or in deferred manner or by way of depreciation.	Expenditure which are incurred in the course of business may be allowed either as revenue or, if treated as capital, then, such expenditure is to be allowed in deferred manner or by way of depreciation. Hence, specific provision may be inserted.

Sr. No.	Existing provision under the Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestions
	6. Expenditure for setting up separate and independent unit; 7. Non-compete fees; 8. Lease expenditure / Payments.		
4.3	Where the consideration received or accruing as a result of the transfer by an assessee of an asset (other than a capital asset), being land or building or both, is less than the value adopted or assessed or assessable by any authority of a State Government for the purpose of payment of stamp duty in respect of such transfer, the value so adopted or assessed or as	The word 'transfer' as defined in section 2(47) is only in relation to a capital asset. As section 43CA applies to stock in trade which is outside the definition of 'capital asset', section 2(47) will not apply to section 43CA. Therefore, to bring clarity and avoid unwanted litigation, an Explanation needs to be inserted in section 43CA defining the word 'transfer'. In case of percentage completion method, the income is offered for taxation based on the stage of completion of project in different years. Taxability u/s 43CA should also be correspondingly linked to different years. However, in the absence of a clear provision and also due to the absence of the definition of the word 'transfer', this may lead to unwanted litigation as to the year of taxability. The 'ready reckoner value' fixed by State Governments for an under-construction property	The word 'transfer' should be defined for the purpose of S. 43CA. For a building under construction there should be a discount /reduction from the value adopted for the purpose of stamp duty. The year of taxability of difference between the actual consideration and the stamp duty value should be clearly prescribed. Similar amendments may be incorporated in section 50C and 56(2)(vii).

Sr. No.	Existing provision under the Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestions
	mcome-tax Act, 1901 (the Act)		
	accruing as a result of such	and a ready possession property are the same.	
	transfer.	It is common knowledge that the property rates	
		vary according to the stages of construction. If a	
		person books a flat today in the year 2020 in a	
		big project, whose possession is likely to be	
		received in the year 2023 (though the builder	
		might claim it to be in the year 2021), the rates	
		would be substantially different from the rates for	
		a ready possession property. Further, in many	
		cases, the builder offers the properties at much	
		lower rates in the pre-booking stage, to finance	
		the construction. It is openly advertised in	
		newspapers etc for discounts in pre-booking	
		stage. But the 'ready reckoner value' does not	
		provide for any concession for such under-	
		construction properties.	
4.4	Section 44AD relating to	Tax on presumptive basis should be extended to	The benefit of section 44AD should also be
	presumptive taxation applies	all assessees, including a LLP. Section 44AD	made available to LLP.
	only to businesses run by	excludes LLP, for which there appears to be no	
	resident Individual, HUF and	cogent reason. Otherwise under the Act, a LLP	
	Firms excluding LLP.	and a Firm are treated at par. Even section	
		44ADA does not exclude LLP.	
4.5	Section 44AD (4)	The businesses are highly unpredictable and	The sub section (4) may be deleted and the
	In section 44AD(4) provides as	casting additional burden of continuous reporting of presumptive income for five years will be	concept of declaration of deemed income for continuous period of 5 years to be removed and

Sr. No.	Existing provision under the Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestions
	follows: "(4) Where an eligible assessee declares profit for any previous year in accordance with the provisions of this section and he declares profit for any of the five assessment years relevant to the previous year succeeding such previous year not in accordance with the provisions of sub-section (1), he shall not be eligible to claim the benefit of the provisions of this section for five assessment years subsequent to the assessment year relevant to the previous year in which the profit has not been declared in accordance with the provisions of sub-section (1).	counterproductive and small businesses will be hit hard and will be pushed out of simplified scheme by this amendment defeating the very purpose of introducing presumptive taxation and will severely affect ease of doing business.	status quo may be maintained.
4.6	(b) "Eligible business" means,—	The term eligible business would include derivative transactions in the form of futures or	Transaction in derivatives should be excluded from eligible business. There would be no

Sr. No.	Existing provision under the Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestions
	(i) any business except the business of plying, hiring or leasing goods carriages referred to in section 44AE; and (ii) whose total turnover or gross receipts in the previous year does not exceed an amount of two crore rupees.	options. Two difficulties arise in this connection (a) what would constitute turnover in the case of a futures contract is not defined in the Act. The Institute of chartered accountants (ICAI) has recommended that the sum total of differences (irrespective of whether they are positive or negative). There is a school of thought that the contract value of the future should be considered (b) It is highly unlikely that the profit from the turnover of such differences is 6% or more.	possibility of revenue leakage as these transactions carried out through a stock exchange are fully recorded and such information is readily available with the Department.
		This results in virtually every person who carries out derivative transaction being liable to tax audit on a literal interpretation of the provision.	
4.7	Presumptive taxation section 44AD and section 44AB.	If the cash receipts and payments does not exceed 5% of the aggregate receipts and payments, tax audit is applicable under section 44AB only if turnover exceeds INR 10 crores. For an assessee who has turnover between 1 crore to 2 crores i.e. the presumptive tax limit, if the assessee shows profit less than 6%, than	Provisions u/s. 44AD and section 44AB and the audit limit should be streamlined and the assessees who have lower turnovers should not be at a disadvantageous position as compared to assessees having higher turnover.

Sr. No.	Existing provision under the Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestions
4.8	Tax audit in case of partners of firm	compulsory tax audit will have to be done under section 44AB read with section 44AD. Thus, an assessee having turnover between 2 crores to 10 crores can continue to show lesser than 6% profit and still avail benefits of not undertaking tax audit. In case of a partner of a partnership firm, his share of profit is exempt under Section 10(2A) as the firm pays the tax at the maximum marginal rate. The remuneration and interest received by	A clarificatory amendment should be made in Section 44AB to provide that for the purpose of applying Section 44AB in the hands of the partners, the share of profit and/or
		the partners from the firm is taxable as Business Income. In such cases, an issue has been raised in some cases that even partners are required to get their accounts audited if their share in profit and/or remuneration / interest from the firm exceeds the threshold provided in Sec. 44AB notwithstanding the fact that the accounts of the partnership firm have already been audited under Section 44AB.	remuneration/interest received from the firm shall not be taken into account while determining the amount of threshold provided in Section 44AB.
4.9	Depreciation Allowance – Sec. 32 Restoration of Depreciation Allowance in respect of cost of	In the past, with a view to avoid litigation on the point of nature of expenditure (i.e. capital or revenue) in respect of purchase of small items of assets, provisions had been introduced to treat cost of such assets as depreciation allowance.	The above provisions should be reintroduced, with a condition that the same would not apply where the total value of such additions during the year exceeds 10% of the opening written down value of the relevant block of depreciable assets.

Sr. No.	Existing provision under the Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestions
	small items of assets.	Earlier, the limit on cost of such assets was Rs. 750/ This was then increased by the Finance Act, 1983 to Rs. 5,000/-, again for the same reasons. These provisions have been omitted w.e.f. A.Y. 1996-97. The omission of the above	
		provisions has created unnecessary hardship of keeping records in respect of purchases of such small items. This was a useful provision to maintain simplicity and to avoid possible litigation on such small items of assets, based on principles of materiality.	
		Such a provision will act as a check on the temptation to abuse but at the same time, will serve the purpose for which it was originally introduced.	
4.10	Section 80 IBA – Deduction of 100% of profits derived from development of affordable housing projects	Under section 80-IBA, as inserted by the Finance Act, 2016 applicable w.e.f. 01.04.2017, deduction of 100% of profits derived from development of affordable housing projects approved on or after 01.06.2016 is available, subject to fulfillment of specified conditions. It prescribes multiple conditions to be fulfilled by assessee in order to claim deduction under this section. The Finance Act, 2017 has further relaxed some of the relevant conditions. As per section 80-IBA(2)(a), the	It is humbly requested to recommend suitable amendments such that the provisions of sub clause (b) of sub-section (2) of Section 80-IBA dealing with the project completion time-limit in Section 80IBA of the Act, be suitably amended so as to provide that the project ought to be completed within a period of ,say, seven years (instead of five years, as it currently provides) from the date on which an eligible assessee has obtained approval of the building plan (i.e. after

Sr. No.	Existing provision under the Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestions
	incomo-tux Act, 1001 (tile Act)		having fulfilled the terms and conditions as a set
		project is to be approved by the competent authority on or before 31.03.2022.	having fulfilled the terms and conditions, so as to commence construction, as required by the respective competent authority) of such housing
		Further, another condition is that the project is to be completed within a period of five years from the date of approval by the competent authority. Real Estate developers engaged in such projects	project by the competent authority.
		are finding it extremely difficult to meet this timeline of 5 years.	
		As you may be kindly aware that from March 2020, Covid 19 has upset the apple cart not only for India but for the world. Several nation-wide	
		lockdowns (were imposed during the first wave of Covid 19 from March 2020 onwards) and several	
		State-wide lockdowns (were imposed during the second wave of Covid 19 from March 2021 onwards) derailed the on-going housing projects.	
		It led to reverse migration of labour resulting in labour shortages, thereby slowing down	
		construction activity. There was absolutely no movement of either material or labor at project	
		sites. All ongoing real estate projects in the	
		country came to a sudden halt. Also, the pandemic lead to low sales and poor profitability for the real actor. Sovera liquidity extraple	
		for the real estate sector. Severe liquidity crunch and tight cash-flows has engulfed the whole economy and real estate sector is worst affected.	

Sr. No.	Existing provision under the Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestions
		Post outbreak of the pandemic, the homebuyers have lost significant appetite to buy residential property due to their own low- and doubtful-income levels. The tight financial situation has prompted many developers to take tough decisions of significant pay-cuts to existing staff and in some cases even reducing the employee strength.	
		Here, it is not out of place to mention that, looking into the massive disruption caused by the pandemic some interim immediate reliefs were provided by the Government/ relevant statutory authorities such as (a) Moratorium regulatory package allowed by the RBI w.r.t relaxing certain repayments and improving the access to working capital by mitigating the burden of debt servicing, etc and (b) Regulatory Authorities (RERA) of various states such Maharashtra, Gujarat, Uttar Pradesh, Tamil Nadu, etc also issued orders in respective states for extension of completion dates of real estate projects registered under provisions of RERA by up to 6 months, recognizing and invoking "Force Majeure" for the Covid 19 pandemic.	
		There is an urgent need to provide relief to the real estate sector in current tough times. In order	

Sr. No.	Existing provision under the	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestions
	Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")		
	1	to maintain project fossibility and solveney the	
		to maintain project feasibility and solvency, the	
		timeline of 5 years needs to be appropriately	
		extended as affordable housing projects operate	
		on wafer thin margins. Developers tend to make	
		affordable housing projects more accessible and	
		reachable to home-buyers by passing on the tax-	
		breaks to them.	
4.11	Section 44ADA - Presumptive	Professions have to offer profit percentage of	The percentage should reduce considering that
	Taxation for professionals	50%, the initial recommendation was 33.33% for	lot of expenses are incurred by professionals too
		professional fees less than INR 50 Lakh.	these days specially for technology and
			marketing. The presumptive percentage should
		From assessment year 2021-22, partnership firms	reduce to 33 % and even the gross receipts limit
		and LLP's are entitled to the benefit of the	should be enhanced to INR 1 crore from INR 50
		presumptive provision. However it appears that	Lakhs.
		the profit percentage of 50% has to be submitted	
		to tax after allowance of partners remuneration.	The profit percentage of 50% should apply
		The profit of most professional firms is shared by	before remuneration to partners.
		the partners by way of remuneration which is	before remuneration to partilers.
		subjected to tax in their hands and is in fact	
		treated as a special share of profits. Therefore	
		achieving a 50% percentage of profit after	
		remuneration is extremely improbable if not	
		impossible. This would then necessitate all	
		professional firms with receipts of less than INR	
		·	
		50 lakhs to conduct a tax audit.	

5. Capital Gains

Sr. No.	Existing provisions under the Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestion
5.1	S. 54EC The section restricts exemption for investment in capital gains bonds up to INR 50 Lakh. In addition thereto the benefit is available only for transfer of land or building.	On account of the restrictive nature of the current provision the benefit is restricted only to a few taxpayers. Those taxpayers who transfer assets other than land and building say shares are not eligible. Further since the words used are land and building, it is debatable whether rights therein or in regard thereto, say tenancy or leasehold rights will qualify. Further the limit is also small considering the possible gains by senior citizens who have held assets for a very long period.	The ceiling for making investment in specified assets be increased from Rs. 50,00,000 to Rs. 1,50,00,000. It is also suggested that the restriction in regard to the asset class should be removed. This will also help the Government in generating funds at much lesser cost, especially when the government is burdened with high cost of borrowing. This step will also will provide impetus to the infrastructure sector. Further, since the lock in period has now been increased to 5 years, if the limit is also increased, the government will have more funds for a longer period at lower cost.
5.2	Under section 54F, the deduction is available only if the assessee does not hold more than one residential property at the time of making investment under this section.	Similar provisions of restriction of holding one property is not present in section 54 which is also an investment linked deduction.	If the assessee is making an investment of sale proceeds than deduction should be allowed across all sections of deductions irrespective of number of residential properties held by the assessee.
5.3	Clause (xiiib) to section 47 excludes the conversion of	Such a small limit is a big hindrance on the	The said limits should be removed or else

Sr. No.	Existing provisions under the Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestion
	private limited companies to LLP from the definition of transfer. However, there are certain conditions prescribed to be complied with for being excluded from the definition of 'transfer'. One of the conditions is that the total sales, turnover or gross receipts in the business of the company in any of the three preceding previous year should not exceed Rs. 60 Lakh. Also, there is another condition wherein the total assets during the previous 3 years should not exceed 5 crores.	conversion of the company into a LLP. Provisions of the new Companies Act 2013 have created various anomalies as well as complication for doing business FDI restrictions in LLPs have also been relaxed by Central Government. Continuing with the restriction of turnover is against the concept of ease of doing business in India.	increased substantially. Turnover limit may be increased to 10 crores and the total assets limit may be increased to 20 crores.
5.4	Secs. 47(x) & (xa) and 49(2A) - Capital Gain on Conversion of Foreign Currency Exchangeable Bonds (FCEB), Other Bonds & Debentures.	It is suggested that appropriate amendment should be made in Section 2(42A) to provide that holding period of such shares should be taken from the date of acquisition of FCEB/debentures/ other bonds and not from the date of allotment of shares.	Sec. 47 (xa) read with Section 49(2A) effectively provide that conversion of FCEB in to shares of any company will not give rise to capital gain and for the purpose of computing capital gain arising on sale of such shares at subsequent stage, cost of acquisition shall be taken as the relevant part of cost of FCEB. There is no corresponding provision for taking holding period of the shares from the day

Sr. No.	Existing provisions under the Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestion
	Act")		
			of acquisition of the Bonds [FCEB]. Similar difficulty exists in case of conversion of debentures and other bonds in to shares for which also similar provision exists in Section 47(x).
5.5	Taxation of Capital Gains in case of Development Agreements	Presently, most new constructions in cities take place where the developer/builder acquires a property or development rights in a property and consideration is to be discharged fully or partly by giving the landowner constructed area in the developed property. This is a business reality. It is practically impossible for the landowner to discharge the capital gain tax liability when he has not received the consideration in form of constructed area in the developed property. This also leads to dispute with the Department as to the point of time when transfer as contemplated u/s 2(47) has taken place under a Development Agreement. Similar provision for taxing capital gain in a subsequent year exists u/s 45(2) of the Act where	With a view to avoid genuine difficulty in discharging the capital gains tax liability and avoid dispute as to the time of transfer, it is suggested that where the consideration for transfer of property in pursuance of a development agreement or otherwise is to be received in form of constructed area, capital gain may be computed in the year in which the transfer takes place but the capital gain so far as it relates to the consideration to be received in form of constructed area be charged to tax in the year in which such constructed area is received by the transferor landowner.
		a capital asset is converted into stock in trade.	
5.6	Section 45(5A)	a) Presently, JDAs between societies and	The words "being an individual or a Hindu
	Taxation of gains arising in case	developers are not covered as the new section	undivided family," referred in sub-section (5A) be

Sr. No.	Existing provisions under the Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestion
	of Joint Development Agreements [JDAs]	refers only to 'Individual or HUF'. b) In the Explanation to sub-section (5A), the definition of "specified agreement" refers to a registered agreement in which a person owning land or building or both. This is likely to cause unintended litigation and disputes.	deleted. Further, the word "owning" referred in explanation to sub-section (5A) be substituted with the word "holding". The sub-section (5A) should be worded on similar lines as sub section (2) of section 45 so that there is consistency and clarity about the taxation of
		Section 45(2) lays down the taxation of gains arising on conversion of a capital asset into stock in trade of a business carried on by the assessee. This provision has stood the test of time and has been well accepted by the tax payers as well as the tax department.	such transactions.
5.7	Distribution of capital assets on dissolution of firm to partners - Sec. 45(4)	In the event of distribution of capital assets to partners on dissolution of a partnership firm, tax on notional capital gain is levied on the firm by taking fair market value of such capital assets as the consideration irrespective of causes or motives of dissolution. This, at times, results into serious hardships on a literal construction of Section 45(4) e.g. if a firm is dissolved due to demise or insolvency of one of the partners of the Firm.	Section 45(4) should not be made applicable in the event where a firm gets dissolved on account of the circumstances beyond the control of the partners such as demise or insolvency of a partner or on account of operation of statutory provisions of any other law etc.

Sr. No.	Existing provisions under the Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestion
5.8	Distribution/transfer of Capital Assets, stock in trade to Partners - Removal of serious hardships - Sec. 9B, 45(4)	Neither Section 9,B. 49 nor Section 55 of the Act provide that if the firm has paid Capital Gains tax on distribution of capital assets on dissolution or otherwise, the cost in the hands of the concerned partner will be the value at which the firm is deemed to have transferred the asset to the partner.	Sections 9B, 49/55 should clarify that in such cases, cost to the partner will be the value on the basis of which the firm has been assessed to profits and gains of business or profession or capital gains.
5.9	Section 50CA Special provision for full value of consideration for the transfer of shares other than quoted shares	The provision is likely to create prolonged litigation in many cases, on account of the vague and complicated definition of 'quoted shares' contained in the Explanation. Further, the term "shares" is not defined. Therefore, disputes could arise as to whether preference shares are also covered by this provision.	To bring more clarity, the definition of "quoted share" may be amended as under: 'Quoted share' means the equity share quoted on any recognised stock exchange and traded on not less than such number of days during the period of 12 months preceding the date of transfer as may be notified, where the quotation of such share is based on current transaction made in the ordinary course of business.' Suitable amendments should be made in section 50CA to make it applicable only to shares of a company in which the public is not substantially interested.

Sr.	Existing provisions under the	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestion
No.	Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the		
	Act")		
5.10	Section 2(14), 2(47) and 45 read with exemption provisions such as section 54, 54F, 54EC, etc. Redevelopment of commercial premises	In case of redevelopment, old premises are handed over to the developer in exchange of new premises. These premises may be commercial as well as residential. Under the present provisions of the Act, exemption under section 54 of the Act is available for new residential house in exchange of old residential house. Under section 54F of the Act, exemption is available (subject to conditions) if residential house is purchased pursuant to transfer of long-term capital asset (other than residential house). However, the Act is silent about situation when a shopkeeper hands over possession of his shop (commercial premises) in an old building in exchange of shop (commercial premises) in new building. It may also be noted that in city of Mumbai, many premises are very old and some are in dilapidated situation. Under the municipal laws (example section 353B and 354B of the Mumbai Municipal Corporation Act, 1888), in order to protect the interest of public, such buildings are to be compulsorily redeveloped. The provisions of section 2(14), 2(47) and section 45 of the Act have the impact of taxing such cases of exchange. Section 45(5A) of the Act merely delays the charge till completion certificate is not received. It is submitted that taxing such class of assessees	It is submitted that unit holder does not get any richer. The appreciation in the value is notional and, in any case, he is liable for capital gains tax when the same is transferred by way of sale as per provisions of section 2(47) of the Act. In order to mitigate the hardship that is faced by such class of assessees, it is suggested that suitable provisions, in regard to commercial premises in redevelopment schemes may be inserted under the Act.

Sr. No.	Existing provisions under the Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestion
		(whether before or after completion of redevelopment project) amounts to unfair treatment. The unit was never intended to be sold or transferred (from a common man's perspective).	

6. Income from Other Sources

Sr.	Existing provision under the	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestion
No.	Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the		
	Act")		
6.1	Section 56 (2)	Gift from uncle/aunt is exempt in the hands of the	The word "spouse" should be substituted with the
	Under section 56 (2)(vii) in	recipient nephew/niece. However, converse is not	
	clause (e) of Explanation, the	true i.e. a gift from nephew/niece is taxable in the	that "relative" includes maternal grandparents.
	definition of the term "relative"	hands of the uncle/aunt. This does not seem to be	In case of HUF, a relative of the Karta should also be
	inter alia, covers the following:	intended.	considered as a relative.
	"spouse of the person referred	In case a relative wants to give gift to the HUF, the	
	to in items(B) to (F)."	same is taxable as against the gift to an individual	
	In case of an HUF only the	by the same person is not considered as income.	
	members of the HUF are		
	considered as relative.		
6.2	Exemption for certain	The section does not exclude from its	a. Issue of shares pursuant to otherwise exempt
	transactions from Section	operation	transactions such as merger, demerger, inorganic

Sr.	Existing provision under the	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestion
No.	Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the		
	Act")		
	56(2)(viib)	Issue of shares as a result of amalgamation, merger, demerger, reorganization. Such an exclusion is available in section 56(2)(viia)	acquisitions, etc. should be excluded. b. Value of the shares may be determined as per the latest adopted Balance Sheet.

7. Revision

Sr. No.	Existing provision under the	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestion
	Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the		
	Act")		
7.1	Section 263 of the Act -	Clause (c) of the Explanation 2 provides that an	It is suggested that clause (c) should be deleted
	Revision of the orders	order will be deemed to be erroneous in so far as	from Explanation 2 to section 263 of the Act.
	prejudicial to revenue	it is prejudicial to the interests of revenue if the	
		order has not been made in accordance with any	
		order, direction or instruction issued by the Board	
		under section 119.	
		Orders, directions and instructions of CBDT are	
		merely the views of the CBDT about any	
		particular provision of law. The view adopted by	
		CBDT need not always be the correct legal view	
		of the matter. Further it is settled position that the	
		CBDT orders and instructions are not binding on	
		the assessees. Only courts have the power to	
		interpret the provisions of the law in the correct	
		manner. If revision is permitted on the basis of	
		clause (c) of the Explanation 2, it is likely to result	
		in anarchy specially in situations where the view	
		of the CBDT on a particular matter is different	
		than the view emerging from various judicial	
		decisions of either the High Courts or the	
		Supreme Court.	

Sr. No.	Existing provision under the Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestion
		In the case of Hindustan Aeronautics Ltd. vs. CIT (200) 243 ITR 808 (SC), it has been held that while acting in capacity of quasi judicial authorities, law laid down by HC / SC shall be followed and circulars shall be ignored if they are conflicting with such decisions of courts.	
7.2	Section 263 of the Act – Revision of the orders prejudicial to revenue	Clause (d) permits revision of any order if it is not in accordance with any decision of a jurisdictional High Court or Supreme Court. The words "any decision" are very wide and will cover decisions given before many years also which might have been subsequently overruled by the subsequent decision of the High Court or Supreme Court. In such a situation the earlier decision, which has been overruled due to subsequent decision of the courts will not have be a binding precedent and therefore should not be allowed to be the basis of revision u/s 263. If the revision is allowed on the basis of a decision which has already lost its binding precedent, it will result in judicial impropriety and the same can certainly not be the intention of any provision of law.	It is suggested that the words "any decision" in the clause should be replaced by the words "the decision being a binding precedent on the subject at the time of passing of the order by the assessing officer". Alternatively, the clause should apply prospectively.

8. Set Off and Carry Forward of Losses

Sr.	Existing provision under the	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestion
No.	Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the		
	Act")		
8.1	Set off of short term capital loss.	Under the present law, short term capital loss is permitted to be set off either against short term capital gains or long term capital gains. But, long term capital loss is permitted to be set off only against the long term capital gains. This is because the rate of tax on long term capital gains is considerably less than the rate of tax on short term capital gains and revenue would suffer if short term capital gains were permitted to be set off against any long term capital loss. As a result, to the extent to which the capital gains is setoff, the assessee would gain by not having to pay the tax on the capital gains. Per contra, to the extent to which short term capital loss is reduced or wiped out, the assessee would be denied the advantage of carry forward of the larger short term capital loss or whole of short term capital loss to the succeeding years so as to reduce his tax liability in such succeeding years. As a result of proposed suggestion, the Revenue and the Assessee would be at par in taking the	It is suggested to provide an option to assessee either to set off short term capital loss against long term capital gains or to set off such a loss in subsequent assessment years subject to limitation period provided u/s 74 of the Act for set off against short term capital gains of subsequent assessment years.

Sr. No.	Existing provision under the Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestion
8.2	Section 71(3) Where in respect of any assessment year, the net result of the computation under the head "Capital gains" is a loss and the assessee has income assessable under any other head of income, the assessee shall not be entitled to have such loss set off against income under the other head.	respective advantage of set off. Short term capital gains other than that referred to in section 111A of the Act, is subject to tax at the normal rate of tax. As the rates of tax applicable to short term capital gains are the same as those applicable to income under any of the other heads, it cannot be said that there is no justification for not allowing set off of short term capital loss against income under any of the other heads. Thus, where the rate of tax on short term capital gains under the head capital gains and the rate of tax with respect to income falling under the other heads of income is the same, such loss may be allowed to set off against income under the other heads.	Short term capital loss under the head capital gains be allowed to be set off against income under the other head.
8.3	Section 71(3A) Notwithstanding anything contained in sub-section (1) or sub-section (2), where in respect of any assessment year, the net result of the computation under the head "Income from house property" is a loss and the assessee has	Finance Act, 2017 has inserted a new sub section (3A) to section 71 of the Act, restricting the set-off of losses arising under the head 'Income from house property' to Rs. 2 lakhs. Introducing such provisions is causing undue hardship and discouraging fresh investments in immovable properties	It is suggested that the restriction of set-off of losses arising under the head 'Income from house property' be removed. . Alternatively, the limit of Rs 2 lakhs may be raised to at least Rs 10 lakhs.

Sr. No.	Existing provision under the Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestion
0.4	income assessable under any other head of income, the assessee shall not be entitled to set off such loss, to the extent the amount of the loss exceeds two lakh rupees, against income under the other head.		
8.4	Section 73(4) Section 73(4) provides as follows: "(4) No loss shall be carried forward under this section for more than four assessment years immediately succeeding the assessment year for which the loss was first computed."	Speculation profit is subject to tax at the normal rate. Thus, speculation income and non-speculation income are subject to tax at the same rate. When non speculation loss can be carried forward for eight assessment years, then for the same reason speculation loss should also be allowed to be carried forward for eight assessment years.	It is suggested that speculation loss be allowed to carry forward for eight assessment year immediately succeeding the assessment year for which the loss was first computed.
8.5	Section 78(2) Section 78(2) provides as follows: "Where any person carrying on any business or profession has	Where one firm succeeds to another (a complete change of the partners which is not covered by the term reconstitution), and the business of the predecessor firm is continued by the successor,	It is suggested that the provision for carry forward and set off in case of succession of firm should be inserted on the lines similar to section 72A of the Act.

Sr.	Existing provision under the	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestion
No.	Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the		
	Act")		
	been succeeded in such	there is no specific provision for allowing the	
	capacity by another person	carryforward of loss. Further no provision akin to	
	otherwise than by inheritance,	amalgamation of companies is available to firms.	
	nothing in this Chapter shall		
	entitle any person other than		
	the person incurring the loss to		
	have it carried forward and set		
	off against his income."		
8.6	Amendment to section 47	Provisions similar to amalgamation of companies	It is suggested that succession of firm should not be
	and 2(47) in respect of	should be available for firms also.	treated as 'transfer' within the meaning of sections
	succession of firm		2(47) r.w.s. 47 of the Act.

9. Interest and Penalty

Sr. No.	Existing provision under the Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestion
9.1	Calculation of the Interest u/s 201(1A) of the Act for the delay in deposit of TDS	 The current provision u/s 201(1A) states that interest is payable from the date of deduction to the date of payment. Even a part of the month is to be considered as a month. Even in a situation where the delay is of 1 day (i.e. TDS deposited on 8th of the succeeding 	Sec 201(1A) should be amended to provide for interest only for the period of delay. Suitable changes may also be made in the TDS utility adopted by the Central Processing Centre (CPC).

Sr. No.	Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestion
	Act")	 month instead of 7th), at present, interest will be calculated for 2 months. There is need to bring clarity on this issue since even a single day's delay leads to a 2 months' period instead of 1 month which is penal in nature. Interest being compensatory in nature, it ought to be charged only for the period of delay and should not be penal in nature. 	
9.2	Section 270A replaces Section 271. A paradigm shift has been brought about by replacing the concept of concealment of income and furnishing inaccurate particulars of income by under- reporting and mis-reporting of income.	271(1)(c) will again have to be considered in the context of Section 270A: 1. Requirement of mens rea	Section 270A be scrapped and scope of Section 273B should be suitably enlarged to provide for circumstances where penalty for concealment of income or furnishing inaccurate particulars will not be imposed.

Sr. No.	Existing provision under the Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestion
	,	7. Issue of Show cause notice Section 270A will once again open up several issues which were plaguing section 271(1)(c). Hence, the objective will not be achieved.	
9.3	S. 270A	No provision dealing with a situation where tax has been paid but only return is not filed.	To incorporate a provision dealing with a situation where return is not filed but the tax has been paid.
9.4	Section 246A which provides for appealable order before Commissioner (Appeals) specifically provided that order imposing penalty u/s 271(1) is appealable.	Section 246A has not been amended to specifically provide that order imposing penalty under section 270A will be appealable.	While the provisions of 246A(1)(q) do make all orders under chapter XXI appealable, specific amendment will avoid controversy.
9.5	Section 270AA - Immunity from Imposition of penalty.	Where penalty is levied on certain additions on ground of mis-reporting and certain additions on ground of only under-reporting, then assessee will have to make a choice whether to file appeal or make application for immunity as he cannot file appeal on penalty levied on mis-reported income and immunity application for under-reported income. There is no guarantee that appeal against quantum order with application for condonation of delay after rejection of application for immunity, will be admitted.	Suitable provision be inserted to remove this anomaly that arises when penalty is levied on certain additions on ground of mis-reporting and certain additions on ground of only under-reporting. Suitable provision may be inserted to enable filing of delayed appeal against quantum order in the event that the application for immunity is rejected.

Sr. No.	Existing provision under the Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestion
		There is no specific bar prohibiting revision u/s 263 of an order accepting immunity application.	Section 270AA(6) may be suitable to be amended to provide that an order granting immunity cannot be made subject matter of revision u/s. 263.
9.6	Section 234F – Fee for default in furnishing the return of income.	3	No fee should be charged from a person who files the return of income beyond the normal time limit and in whose case, a refund is due as per the return filed.
9.7	Section 269 ST and 271 DA Mode of undertaking transactions and penalty for failure to comply with section 269ST	269ST begins with 'No person shall receive an amount' The word "amount" will include not only sum of money but any 'transfer for any value'. This is unintended and should be amended to clearly apply only to cash transactions. The Memorandum explaining the provisions of FA 2017 brings out the intention.	The word "amount" in section 269ST should be replaced with "sum of money".

10.TDS

Sr.	Existing provision under the	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestion
No.	Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")		
10.1	Fresh scheme of tax collection instead of TDS	Reducing compliance burden and reducing rectification applications.	Large companies including PSUs/PSBs should be allowed to pay advance tax on a monthly basis and exempted from the TDS provisions in the capacity of deductees. The advance tax to be deposited monthly could be based on TDS claimed in the return of Income in last two A.Ys. This will reduce avoidable and unnecessary hardship caused to the deductor and the deductee (for taking credit).
10.2	Meaning of the term 'technical services' vis-à-vis 'professional services'	The Finance Act, 2020 amended section 194J of the Act to provide for reduced rate of TDS @ 2% where payment is towards for fees for technical service, not being a professional service. While the terms "fees for technical services" and "professional services" are defined separately, the issue may arise while interpreting the term managerial, technical and	The definition of professional fees may be amended to specifically exclude Technical Services from its ambit.
		consultancy services included in the definition of "fees for technical services" as it could overlap vis-à-vis term 'technical consultancy' included in the definition of "professional services".	

Sr.	Existing provision under the	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestion
No.	Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")		
10.3	As per section 194-O of the Act, an e-commerce operator is liable to deduct tax at source @ 1% from payments made to an e-commerce participant in respect of the sale of goods or provision of services facilitated by the e-commerce operator through its digital or electronic facility or platform. Various issues have emanated from its practical implementation	 a) Section 194-O of the Act mandates the ecommerce operator to deduct and pay tax on behalf of the e-commerce participant, even when customer makes direct payment to e-commerce participant. In this scenario, such TDS may be borne by the ecommerce operator, if it is not reimbursed by the e-commerce participant. b) e-commerce operators may charge delivery fee or offer discount on the products sold by the e-commerce participants. For instance, a laptop company (being e-commerce participant) offers mobile phone at INR 100,000 as per the list price and the ecommerce operator charges a delivery fee of INR 500, thereby making the total amount payable by the customer as INR 100,500. As a promotional / festive offer, the e-commerce operator offers discount of INR 5,000 on the list price thereby offering the laptop at INR 95,500. The said discount is compensated by the e-commerce operator to the e-commerce operator to	 a) B2B transaction may be carved out from section 194-O b) Tax should be withheld from net of sales c) Tax should not be withheld from GST / indirect taxes d) The scope of the term 'service' mentioned in Explanation to section 194-O of the Act should be elaborated further Considering that the non-resident e-commerce operator is not present in India, the Government may ease the compliance burden i.e. simplify the details required to be filed in the withholding tax statements etc.

Sr. No.	Existing provision under the Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestion
No.	Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")	commerce participant should be liable for TDS on INR 100,500, even if only INR 95,500 is received from the buyer. c) Where the sales transaction is subsequently cancelled (or say the buyer rejects the goods on delivery), whether such transaction would also attract TDS. Section 194-O of the Act provides that the taxes are to be withheld on the amount of the sales. Through Circular No.23/2017 dated 19 July, 2017, the CBDT has clarified that no taxes shall be withheld on the portion of 'GST on services' if it is indicated separately in the invoice. However, section 194-O would cover goods and hence this circular may not be applicable. Further, while Circular No. 17 of 2020 has clarified regarding exclusion of GST for the purpose of section 206C(1H) of the IT Act, it is silent for section 194-O of the IT Act. Thus, as the extant position, tax needs to be withheld on	
		the amount inclusive of GST.	

Sr.	Existing provision under the	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestion
No.	Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")		
10.4	Penalty under section 271-I for	It is not clear whether the penalty is qua the	Clarificatory amendment may be made.
	Failure to furnish information /	payment made or qua the transaction or qua	
	Inaccurate information under	the obligation for a specific financial year.	
	section 195		

Sr.	Existing provision under the	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestion
No.	Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")		
10.5	a) As per the current scenario, the credit for TDS is allowed on the basis of TDS reflected in Form 26AS, whereas, the assessee claims the TDS on the basis of the income offered to tax by him. This results to mismatch of credit for TDS, requiring rectification and submissions of various details by the assessee. The reasons for mismatch are many, e.g. the deductor following mercantile system of accounting, therefore TDS is deducted at the time of credit and on the other hand deductee following cash system of accounting and claiming credit for TDS in the year in which the income is actually received by him and vice-versa. As per the Finance Act, 1987, effective from	 a) The department routinely denies credit in the year in which income is submitted to tax if the TDS is reflected in the 26AS of an earlier year. The deductee may not be able to carry it forward, as the credit is not reflected when he files the return of income. It is not feasible for the deductee to revise his return/s repeatedly to avail of such credit. The assessee should not be denied credit for TDS merely because of different methods of accounting followed by the deductor and the deductee or because of mistake of the deductor. This will reduce unproductive and unnecessary work of the department as well as the assessee. b) In many cases, the demand remains outstanding in the department's records on account of non deposit of TDS by the deductor and the same are incorrectly adjusted against subsequent refunds due to the deductee, resulting in unnecessary hardship to the assessee from whom the tax is wrongly recovered. There are sufficient provisions in the law to recover the amount not deposited by the deductor who is an 	 a) It is suggested that rule 37BA(3) should be amended, to provide that the credit for TDS should be allowed in the assessment year immediately following the financial year in which the tax has been deducted at source. In the alternative if credit is to be allowed only on the basis of the income being assessable, a robust mechanism be put in place to ensure the grant of the credit only on the basis of a declaration by the deductee. b) The credit to the deductee should not be denied on account of mistake in data uploaded by the deductor or non-payment of TDS to the Government by the deductor as the deductee has no control over the Deductor. c) Rule 37BA(3) should be amended to the extent that in case of default on the part of the deductor for non deposit of tax deducted at source, the deductee should not be denied the credit of such tax deducted and the refund also should be allowed to the deductee.

Sr.	Existing provision under the	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestion
No.	Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")		
No.	Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act") 01/06/1987, the requirement for giving credit for TDS in the assessment year in which the income is assessable was introduced and has been applicable since then. Sec. 199 r.w. rule 37BA (3) states that credit for tax deducted and paid to the Central Government shall be given for	assessee in default.	
	the assessment year in which the income is assessable. b) In case deductor does not upload the details of tax deducted of the payee correctly, credit of the tax deducted is not allowed to the		

Sr.		Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestion
No.	Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")		
			Suggestion

Sr. No.	Existing provision under the Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestion
10.6	Scheme for Lump sum payments of TDS In order to comply with the provisions of S. 200(1) read with Rule 30(1), the deductor has to deposit the tax deducted within the 7th day of the subsequent month.	The introduction of such a scheme shall reduce the burden of the tax deductors for making various payments every month under different sections within the due date. Considering the computerization of the entire TDS system, it is possible to keep a track of the appropriations made by the deductor as against the actual liability.	A scheme similar to Personal Ledger Account (PLA) in erstwhile excise law should be inserted in Chapter XVIIB of the Act, wherein the deductor can deposit a lump sum amount to the credit of assessee's PLA and the PLA should be accessible to the deductor online. Such amount can be adjusted and appropriated against the liability of tax deducted by way of debit to the account. Excess amount to the credit of the assessee should be refunded or carried forward at the discretion of the assessee after filing and processing of the e-tds statement filed for the last quarter.

Sr.	Existing provision under the	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestion
No.	Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")		
10.7	, , ,	get its objects verified. Where the Charitable	TDS provisions should not be made applicable when the deductee is an approved Charitable Trust. The newly registered Trusts are to be granted a unique registration number (URN). The exemption from deduction should be granted on the basis of such URN.

Sr.	Existing provision under the	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestion
No.	Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")		
10.8	Only listed securities have been carved out of section 206C(1H) of the Act. Further, the key terms such as 'goods', 'turnover' etc. are not defined in the section.		purview of section 206C(1H).
		Further, while the key terms are not defined, CBDT has issued a circular clarifying various queries. One of the clarifications given by the CBDT is that the provision of section 206C(1H) of the Act would not apply to listed securities. Thus, it appears that unlisted securities could get covered by section 206C(1H) of the IT Act.	

Sr.	Existing provision under the	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestion
No.	Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")		
10.9	Section 194IB / 195 / of IT	It is presently provided that rent payment	Even in cases where Rent payments are made to
	Act, 1961 – TDS on Payments	exceeds Rs. 50000 per month /, TDS of	NRI, provisions on lines with 194IB, needs to be
	of Rent by Certain	5%, is required to made. As an important	introduced. It would ease compliance for Individuals /
	Individuals / HUF	compliance relaxation, it has been further	HUF who are not registered for TDS.
		provided that, TDS can be made once in	
		a year and 1 Challan cum Return can be	
		filed without going through the regular	
		elaborate TDS Compliances / procedures	
		In contrast, if the owner / lessor happens	
		to be a NRI, Section 195 becomes	
		applicable resulting in the following :	
		> TDS at the rate of 31.2% without	
		threshold limit	
		Tenants (who usually would not be	
		registered for TDS) would have to	
		comply with elaborate TDS related	
		compliances & procedures	

11. Assessments, Appeals and DRP

Sr. No.	Existing provision under the Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestion
11.1	Section 250 (6A) "(6A) In every appeal, the Commissioner (Appeals), where it is possible, may hear and decide such appeal within a period of one year from the end of the financial year in which such appeal is filed before him under sub-section (1) of section 246A."	Presently, the time limit for passing the order is not mandatory but only recommendatory in nature. The time limit should be made mandatory. There are many old appeals which are pending before the CIT(A) which are not disposed of and are pending since long. The DRP has a mandatory time limit and it issues the direction within the said time limit. Even the appeals before CIT(A) should have a fixed time frame.	The following sub section may be substituted in place of the existing one: "(6A) In every appeal, the Commissioner (Appeals), where it is possible, shall hear and decide such appeal within a period of one year from the end of the financial year in which such appeal is filed before him under sub-section (1) of section 246A. Provided that where it is not possible for CIT(A), to hear and decide such appeal within the aforesaid period, for reasons beyond his control, the Principal CCIT/CIT on receipt of such request in writing from the CIT(A), if satisfied, may allow additional period of 6 months to hear and decide such appeal."
11.2	Section 254(2)		
	Section 254(2) reads as follows: "(2) The Appellate Tribunal may, at any time within six	Time limit of 6 months is too less. After the order is passed, it is posted to the Assessee. Usually the assessee receives original order in 30 to 45 days after order is passed.	, ,

Sr. No.	Existing provision under the Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestion
	months from the end of the month in which the order was passed, with a view to rectifying any mistake apparent from the record, amend any order passed by it under sub-section (1), and shall make such amendment if the mistake is brought to its notice by the assessee or the Assessing Officer:"	Apart from that the time for passing of the order giving effect is 3 months. The assessee realises mistakes when confronted with the Assessing officer wherein he interprets the order differently. He may want to seek clarification from the Tribunal but cannot do so because of 6 months' time limit and cannot also move the High court thereafter.	order was served on the Assessee, with a view to rectifying any mistake apparent from the record, amend any order passed by it under sub-section (1), and shall make such amendment if the mistake is brought to its notice by the assessee or the Assessing Officer. Provided the Tribunal may pass an order under this subsection after six months but not beyond 1 year, after condoning the delay for the reasons recorded in writing. "
11.3	Section 144C(2) – requirement of filing voluminous details within 30 days	The assessee has to file voluminous objections in form 35A, within 30 days of receipt of the order. 30 days is very short time to compile and file before the DRP. There are many mistakes and further many arguments are also missed out.	Either the time limit of 30 days may be increased to 60 days or, in the alternative, format of Form 35A should be revised only to include grounds and statement of facts as are before CIT(A).
11.4	Section 144B- Faceless Assessments	The opportunity for personal hearing is given under the section only at the discretion of the authority. Further, the transfer of case from faceless to JAO can be done only at the discretion of authorities.	 i. Opportunity should also be given to assessee for personal hearing of video conference and the same being a constitutional right, it cannot be subject matter of discretion. ii. In some complicated cases, the

Sr. No.	Existing provision under the Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestion
			assessee should be given opportunity to ask for transfer of case physically to JAO. iii. Some suitable exceptions are required in the faceless assessments like set aside assessments, cases of super senior citizens, cases of individuals having only salary income and paying TDS, technologically backward states etc.
11.5	All other Faceless sections – for appeals, penalty and Re-opening	The provision to introduce the faceless schemes for a majority of the procedural sections have already been made in the Act, however there is no scheme which has been enacted yet for those sections. This creates confusion as to what is the current status of these sections, whether they continue to be done physically or they fall under faceless set of schemes. (Practically notices for all such sections except for section 148 are continued to be sent through NeFAC)	Suitable quick measures should be taken to correct these anomalies and bring the schemes as provided for at earliest. Further substantive procedural changes should be brought in the Act itself and should not be left to circulars and notifications.

12. Trust / Charitable Organisations

Sr. No.	Existing provision under the Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestion
12.1	Charitable purpose Section 2(15) Limit of 20% in the definition of "Charitable Purpose"	Several difficulties are faced by small charitable organisations and therefore there is a need to amend the definition and relax the upper limit of 20% of total receipts.	In place of existing clause (ii), the following may be substituted: "The aggregate receipts from such activity or activities during the previous year, do not exceed twenty per cent of the total receipts, or rupees One crore, whichever is higher, of the trust or institution undertaking such activity or activities, of that previous year."
12.2	Tax on accreted income - Section 115TD(1) — clause (b) — merger of two trusts / organisations.	These provisions create a charge without considering practical and real difficulties. a. One will appreciate that entire scheme of Income tax is based on Real income theory. b. Tax on accreted income is payable even if entity is merged with other entity which is registered u/s 12AA but whose objects are not similar. c. Further, the term "similar object" is subjective and prone to litigation. d. Provisions will apply even if a charitable institution transfers its assets to an institution	which is a trust or institution registered under section 12AA;"

Sr. No.	Existing provision under the Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestion
		substantially financed by government or which has turnover not exceeding the specified limit. e. Provisions will apply even if a charitable institution transfers its assets to an institution which is approved by Charity Commissioner under Maharashtra Public Trust Act, 1950.	
12.3	Tax on accreted income - Section 115TD(1)(c) — time limit for transfer of assets to any other trust or institution.	Time limit of 12 months may not be enough for the trust to comply with in some cases due to various genuine reasons.	Appropriate provisions may be made which would empower Pr. CIT/CIT to extend this period.
12.4	Section 115TD(4) – Trust to pay tax on accreted income even though it is not otherwise required to pay income-tax	 a. Proposed balance sheet approach may result in taxation of income which has legitimately enjoyed exemption in earlier years. b. It may result in taxing an amount which was always eligible or entitled to an exemption. The proposed suggestion would ensure that only the following assets would be liable to accreted tax: (1) assets acquired out of non-agricultural income which is otherwise exempt, (e.g. dividend income, etc.); 	Provisions should not apply to the assets generated out of specified income on which exemption was not claimed.

Sr. No.	Existing provision under the Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestion
	,	(2) assets acquired out of the basic accumulation of 15% of income;	
		(3) assets acquired out of corpus donations exempt under section 11(1)(d);	
		(4) assets acquired out of bequests;	
		(5) assets acquired out of income below exemption limit;	
		(6) assets acquired out of business income on which tax is paid under section 11(4A);	
		(7) assets acquired out of income taxed upon application of first proviso to section 2(15);	
		(8) assets acquired out of income which has suffered tax on account of application of section 13;	
		(9) agricultural land.	
12.5	Section 115TD (5)	It seems that primary liability to pay tax is on	Applicability of recovery provisions on the trustees
	Section 115TD(5) reads as follows:	principal officer or the trustee and if they don't pay then that would be of Trust.	etc. should be made only if it is proved that non- recovery is attributed to any gross neglect, misfeasance or breach of duty on his part in relation

Sr. No.	Existing provision under the Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestion
	"(5) The principal officer or the trustee of the trust or the institution, as the case may be, and the trust or the institution shall also be liable to pay the tax on accreted income to the credit of the Central Government within fourteen days from, —	The term 'principal officer' is very widely defined in section 2(35) - "'principal officer', used with reference to a local authority or a company or any other public body or any association of persons or anybody of individuals, means— "(a) the secretary, treasurer, manager or agent of the authority, company, association or body, or (b) any person connected with the management or administration of the local authority, company, association or body upon whom the Assessing Officer has served a notice of his intention of treating him as the principal officer thereof;" The AO can consider almost any person connected with the management as the principal officer of the institution.	to the affairs of the charitable institution or trust.
12.6	115TD (5)"(5) The principal officer or the trustee of the trust or the institution, as the case	Tax need to be paid within period of 14 days. a. Time limit is too short to pay especially when institution is required to dispose of its assets	Time limit need to be suitably modified.

Sr. No.	Existing provision under the Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")	Difficulties / Obstacles / Hurdles faced	Suggestion
	may be, and the trust or the institution shall also be liable to pay the tax on accreted income to the credit of the Central Government within fourteen days from,"	to make payment. b. It takes longer time to take permission from Charity Commissioner appointed under Maharashtra Public Trust Act, 1950. c. Further when capital assets are sold, proceeds would also be subject to capital gains tax.	
12.7	Section 12A(1)(ab) Information regarding modifications of the objects which do not confirm to the conditions of registration	The time limit of 30 days is too short. Many NGOs are run by volunteers. It is unfair to cast such an onerous responsibility on them. For example, where the amendment to the trust deed is sanctioned by a Court etc., it may take time to get copies of the court order. 30 days' period is impractical and merely onerous.	-
12.8	Section 12A(1)(ba) Condition of filing the return of income within the time specified in section 139(4A)	The condition of filing the return of income within the time specified in section 139(4A) is too harsh and unfair. There could be several genuine reasons for a charitable trust not being able to file its return in time.	This clause (ba) should be suitably amended to provide for condonation of delay in case a reasonable cause is provided by the concerned trust. Alternatively the exemption should commensurate with the delay in filing the return of income.

13. Threshold limits & time limit with Due Date

Sr. No.	Present Provision / Practice			Suggested Modification	Rationale for	Code for
NO.	Section / Rule	Provision	Present Limit	Modification	change	Rationale
I	Monetary li	imit				
A. Cha	ritable Trusts	5				
13.1	2(15)	For non-applicability of first proviso in definition of "charitable purpose". First proviso states that advancement of any other object of general public utility shall not be a charitable purpose, if it involves carrying on of any activity in the nature of trade, commerce or business,, for a cess or any other consideration ,unless	Aggregate receipt from such activity does not exceed 20% of total receipts. Earlier monetary limit was of Rs 25,00,000/	Monetary limit should be restored and should be at least 1,00,00,000/	It can be linked with limit prescribed u/s 44AB for Tax Audit.	I and VII
13.2	13(2)(g)	Exclusion for Benefit to person referred in Section 13(3). Section 13(2) provides that income or property of the trust shall be deemed to have been used or applied for the benefit of person referred to in sub-section (3) and Clause (g) refer to diversion of income to such person. Proviso to the said Clause (g) of section 13(2) provides that the said Clause shall not applyif the aggregate of such diverted amount does not exceed	1,000/-	1,00,000/-	This has been in force since 1972	I
13.3	13(3)(b)	It refers to a person who has made "substantial	50,000	250,000	Since 1994	1

Sr. No.	Present Provision / Practice			Suggested	Rationale for	Code for
NO.	Section / Rule	Provision	Present Limit	- Modification	change	Rationale
		contribution" that is to say upto the end of the relevant previous year exceeding				
B. Co-c	perative Soc	ieties	l			l
13.4	80P(2) (c) (ii)	Deduction in respect of income of co-operative societies	50,000	200,000	Since 1998	I
C. Gen	eral					!
13.5	10(32)	Exemption limit for clubbing of minor's income	1,500	10,000	Since 1993	I
	56(2)(x)	Gift etc. (other than from relatives etc.) in excess of aggregate	50,000	100,000	Since 2006	I
13.6	263	Principal Commissioner/ Commissioner if he consider that an order passed by the A.O. is erroneous, have powers to pass an order enhancing or modifying the assessment including cancelling	Nil	Proviso should be added that no such revision would be made where the tax effect does not exceed 5,00,000/	Ceiling would prevent revision in small cases. Ceiling suggested is the same which is for filing of appeal by the Department before the Tribunal.	1 & V
13.7	281	Certain charge or transfer shall be void unless it is made (i) for adequate consideration; or (ii) With the previous permission of the Assessing officer. Sub section (2) provides				

Sr.	Present Provision / Practice			Suggested	Rationale for	Code for
No.	Section / Prov	Provision	Present Limit	- Modification	change	Rationale
		for the applicability when				1 & V
		- Amount of Tax or Sum payable	5000	1,00,000	w.e.f. 1-10-1975	
		- Assets Charged or Transfer	10000	50,00,000		
D. Sala	ried Employee	PS				
13.8	10(10B)	Exemption limit for retrenchment compensation	50,000	1,000,000	Since 1997	I
13.9	10(10C)	Exemption for amount received on voluntarily retirement or termination in accordance with a scheme of voluntary separation	500,000	1,000,000	Since 2001	I
13.10	10(14)(ii) Rule 2BB	Children Education Allowance	100 p.m.	2000 p.m.	Since 1997. It is so miniscule that if relief is intended then it should be increased OR removed altogether.	I & VII
13.11	10 (14) (ii) r.w. Rule 2BB	Children Hostel Expenditure Allowance	300 p.m.	2000 p.m.	Since 1997	I & VII
13.12	17(2)(iii)	Monetary limit for employee (other than Director) for adding perquisite	50,000	100,000	Since 2002	I & VII
13.13	17(2) proviso (vi)	Travel for Medical Treatment outside India is subject to condition that gross total income	2,00,000	500,000	Since 1993	I

Sr.	Present Prov	vision / Practice		Suggested	Rationale for	Code for
No.	Section / Rule	Provision	Present Limit	 Modification 	change	Rationale
		does not exceed Rs 2,00,000				
13.14	17 (2)(viii) r.w.Rule 3 (7) (i), (iii) and (iv)	Perquisite in respect of the following a) perquisite for interest free loan in excess of b) lunch / refreshment c) Value of any gift etc. on ceremonial occasions or otherwise	20,000 50 5,000	1,00,000 200 15,000	} Since 2001	I & VII
E(1) Bu	SINESS INCOME	EXPENDITURE				
13.15	40A (3)	Payment made otherwise than by account payee cheque (a) For Transport	(a) 35,000	50,000	Since 2009	I
E(2) RE	QUIREMENT OF	MAINTENANCE OF BOOKS OF ACCOUNT ETC.				
13.16	44AA(1) r.w Rule 6F	Requirement of maintenance of books of account by legal, medical, engineering or architectural profession etc. if the total gross receipts exceed	150,000	500,000	The present limit has remained unchanged since 2000. Earlier, applicability of Tax Audit for such professionals was Rs. 10,00,000/- which has since been increased to Rs. 50,00,000/	

Sr. No.	Present Provision / Practice			Suggested	Rationale for	Code for
	Section / Rule	Provision	Present Limit	- Modification	change	Rationale
13.17	44AA (1) r.w Rule 6F(2)	The books of account and other documents referred to in sub-rule (1) shall be following: (i) a cash book; (ii) a journal (iii) a ledger; (iv) carbon copies of bills, whether machine numbered or otherwise serially numbered, wherever such bills are issued by the person, and carbon copies or counterfoils of machine numbered or otherwise serially numbered receipts issued by him:	Point (iv) Rs. 25	Rs. 500	Since 1983	I
		Provided that nothing in this clause shall apply in relation to sums not exceeding twenty-five rupees (v) Original bills wherever issued to the person and receipts in respect of expenditure incurred by the person or, where such bills and receipts are not issued and the expenditure incurred does not exceed fifty rupees	Point (v) Rs. 50	Rs. 1,000		
13.18	44AA(2)	a) Sales, Turnover or gross receipts b) Income from business or profession	10,00,000 1,20,000	25,00,000 2,50,000	} Since 1998	

Sr.	Present Provision / Practice			Suggested	Rationale for	Code for
No.	Section / Rule	Provision	Present Limit	- Modification	change	Rationale
F. CAPI	TAL GAINS					
13.19	54 EC	Exemption of capital gain on investment in certain bonds	50,00,000	No limit restriction	The original position to be restored. The Govt. will have more funds for stated purpose at lower rate of interest.	
G. TAX	DEDUCTION A	T Source				
13.20	193	TDS on Interest on Securities	5,000	20,000	Since 2012. Will reduce hardship to many.	I
13.21	194-J	TDS on Professional Fees etc.	30,000 and there is no separate aggregate limit.	30,000 per contract and aggregate limit of Rs. 1,00,000/	To make it in line with limits u/s 194C.	I
II. Mon	etary Ceilings	S				
13.22	276CC	There is a limit of Rs. 25,000 in proviso to clause (i) and no limit in proviso to clause (ii) of the section	25,000 and No limit	50000 and 25000	The limits are less to undergo harsh rigours of prosecution	
III. Tim	e Limits	·	ı	1	1	
13.23	154	Rectification under Section 154	6 months	The time limit for disposing an application made under section 154	Inordinate delay in disposal of rectification application under section 154 –	

Sr.	Present Pre	Present Provision / Practice			Rationale for	Code for
No.	Section / Rule	Provision	Present Limit	- Modification	change	Rationale
				should also be specified in the Taxpayer's Charter introduced under section 119A of the Income Tax Act making the officers accountable for lapse in following the time limits enshrined in section 154(8) in letter and spirit. Provisions should be introduced such that if the application for rectification is not disposed within the prescribed time, it would be deemed that the application is granted and the AO shall be bound to rectify	rectification application u/s 154 made by	

Sr.	Present Provision / Practice			Suggested	Rationale for	Code for
No.	Section / Rule	Provision	Present Limit	- Modification	change	Rationale
				the mistake.	In fact, the Central Board of Direct Taxes (CBDT) tried to address the issue of delays in disposal of rectification application / petition vide instruction No. 01 of 2016 dated 15.02.2016 directing that the time-limit of six months mentioned in section 154(8) is to be strictly followed by the assessing officer while disposing off the rectification application filed by the assessee. However, it may be noted that time limit of six months is not being observed in	

Sr.	Present Provision / Practice			Suggested	Rationale for	Code for
No.	Section / Rule	Provision	Present Limit	- Modification	change	Rationale
					deciding the applications. In many cases, the assessee has to file repeated application because an application on which order has not been passed within six months is considered by authorities as lapsed or no longer valid.	

14. Domestic Transfer Pricing - Specified Domestic Transactions (SDT)

Sr.	Existing provision under the Income-tax	Suggestion	Justification for the suggestion
No.	Act, 1961 ("the Act")		
14.1	Meaning of the term "Close connections" in sec. 80IA(10) not defined any where in the Act.	It is, therefore, suggested that the same should be defined.	This will bring clarity to the said definition.
14.2	The threshold limit of related party transactions for invoking SDT is very low at Rs. 20 crores considering that it is aggregate of all such transactions.	It is suggested that the said limit should be enhanced to at least Rs. 50 crores so that the small and medium companies will be out of the ambit of SDT since, otherwise, it imposes a lot of burden on such enterprises.	

15. GAAR

Sr. No.	Existing provision under the Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")	Suggestion	Justification for the suggestion
15.1	Entire Chapter X-A GAAR	It is humbly suggested that keeping in view the intent and the purpose of the GAAR provisions the same may be restricted only to the Non-Resident Tax payers.	GAAR provisions were introduced as an aftermath of the verdict of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Vodafone Holdings (341 ITR 1). As per the current GAAR provisions the Revenue is empowered to declare certain arrangements as Impermissible Avoidance Arrangements and by virtue of which it is entitled to completely withdraw the tax benefits or alternatively determine the taxability of the parties to the arrangement both under the Act as well as any of the Tax Treaties. Based on the above, it appears that any and every transaction could be tested and declared as impermissible.
			It is highly possible that even Residents may be tested and thereby brought to tax as per the GAAR provisions. This despite the fact that in case of residents there are ample antiavoidance provisions, (more rigorous and specific in nature) in the Act. For e.g. section 56, section 40A, 2(22)(e), 94(7), 94(8), Chapter X, etc. Applying GAAR in case of residents may land the resident tax payers in a situation of double jeopardy. Further certain transactions in the case of Residents which at times may be

Sr. No.	Existing provision under the Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")	Suggestion	Justification for the suggestion
			approved by the High Court, would run the risk of being termed as impermissible under the Act, thereby disregarding the court order. This would result in a situation of overlap and conflict of Constitutional Powers conferred on the Executive and the Judiciary. Hence it is suggested that the GAAR provisions if at all to be enforced be applicable only in case of Nonresidents.
15.2	Section 96(2) provides that if the main purpose of even a step in transaction (which is a part of the main transaction / whole arrangement) is to obtain a tax benefit then the entire arrangement may be declared to be an impermissible avoidance arrangement under GAAR provisions. This is so despite the fact that main purpose of the whole arrangement is not to obtain a tax benefit.	It is suggested that the last limb of section 96(2) i.e. "notwithstanding the fact that the main purpose of the whole arrangement is not to obtain a tax benefit" be deleted to avoid any confusion. It may also be categorically provided that an arrangement may not be declared as impermissible if it entails some tax benefit on any step in transaction so as to promote a conducive investment climate. This will also avoid undertaking any unnecessary interpretational exercise.	There will invariably be transactions between entities which will have some element of tax benefit involved at some stage of the transaction. Permitting the revenue to declare an entire arrangement to be impermissible based on some marginal tax benefit achieved by a step in transaction would lead to a situation which would render almost all transactions impermissible. Further as per the wordings used in the section it appears that the entire focus as per section 96(2) shifts and probably acts in contrast to the main provision contained in section 96(1) i.e. declaring an entire arrangement aimed at obtaining tax benefit as impermissible. This will also act as a deterrent to a favourable investment climate.
			This amendment / clarification is required to avoid any conflicting interpretations within the

Sr. No.	Existing provision under the Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")	Suggestion	Justification for the suggestion
			section and also to promote clarity in the law. It will also invoke positive investor confidence aiming at making capital investments in India.
15.3	Under section 97(2) round trip financing is meant to include transactions where funds are transferred among the parties to the arrangement and such transfer of funds lacks substantial commercial purpose.	It is suggested that the word <i>substantial</i> be dropped so as to bring the definition in line with section 97(1). Alternatively, substantial commercial purpose may also be defined in the Act under section 102 like other terms used in the chapter.	The definition contains the phrase 'substantial commercial purpose'. However, the said phrase is not defined and the word substantial may lead to varied interpretations leading to possible difficulties. A clarity on this issue is required so as to avoid any subjective interpretational difficulties and proper, just and equal applicability of the Chapter to all persons covered by it.
15.4	Sections 98 and 99 of the Act provide that as a consequence of attracting GAAR provisions any corporate structure may be disregarded.	A mechanism may be provided whereby instead of the Department disregarding any corporate structure it may be authorised to approach the court in order to decide whether a corporate structure may be disregarded.	Under the Companies Act, only High Court is empowered to pierce the corporate veil and disregard the Corporate Structure. Empowering the Department to so disregard the Corporate Structure may lead to conflict of Constitutional Powers as mentioned above.
15.5	No right of appeal should be given to the assessee against the direction of the Approving Panel.	The assessee should be given a right to appeal against the directions of the approving panel.	The Approving Panel has only six months to adjudicate on the issue. Further, there can be no extension of the same. In six months' time, if the approving panel adjudicates on the invocation of Chapter X-A, then a right to appeal should be given to the assessee, otherwise the High Courts will have to exercise their extra-ordinary writ jurisdiction. Further, the

Sr. No.	Existing provision under the Income-tax Act, 1961 ("the Act")	Suggestion	Justification for the suggestion
			time period of six months to adjudicate on such a controversial and high stake involving issue is not justified, thereby making such direction subject to appeal inevitable.

16. International Taxation

Sr.	Issues	Recommendations	Justifications
No.			
A. Re	sidence under section 6		
16.1	For persons other than companies and individuals (firm etc.) if even part of Control & Management is in India it is an Indian resident. (Ss. 6(2) and 6(3)).	We suggest that residence test be on similar lines as in case of companies. i.e. If Place of Effective Management [POEM] is in India, then it will be considered as Indian resident.	To avoid this harsh application of residential test on other entities and bring uniformity in approach and principles.
16.2	Individuals – In a previous year (FY 2016-17), an NRI visits India once for 30 days. In the second visit he settles down in India. In that previous year he is in India for a period exceeding 59 days but less than 182 days. Will he be considered as resident or non-resident?	We suggest that reference to "visit" may be avoid to remove any controversy. Alternatively, the term "visit" may be explained.	To avoid the controversy on the meaning of "visit" to India under Explanation (b) to section 6(1).
16.3	Section 6(1) Explanation 1 (a) It provides that if a person leaves for employment in any previous year, he can get the relief of 182 days "in relation to that	It may be clarified that if a person leaves India for employment, then he will get the relief for that previous year, or "the subsequent previous year". The intention is	To clarify and avoid ambiguity in such cases.

Sr.	Issues	Recommendations	Justifications
No.			
	year". (i.e. he can be a non-resident even if he stays in India for 182 days). Say a person leaves India for employment in Nov 2018. In FY 2018-19, he is in India for more than 182 days. Therefore he will be an Indian resident. In FY 2019-20, he continues his employment and comes to India for 80 days. Will he be considered as non-resident? (In FY 2019-20 he did not leave for employment.)	that once a person leaves India for employment, he will get the relief of being in India for 182 days in that year or the year subsequent to the year he left.	
B. A	 pplication for nil / lower deduction of tax at s	ource certificate – Section 195(2) and 197	
16.4	No time limit has been prescribed for processing of application filed u/s 195(2) and	We suggest that a reasonable but mandatory time limit for disposal of the	To make it time-bound and hence impart discipline and certainty.
	197 of the Act.	applications made u/s 195(2) and 197 of the Act say, 60 days or 90 days from the date of application.	also,pilite and sortainty.
C. Fo	reign Tax Credit		
16.5	Foreign Tax Credit - Rule 128 (8) & (9) and Form 67	Rule 128(9) provides that the statement in Form 67 referred to in clause (i) of sub-rule (8) and the certificate or the statement referred to in clause (ii) of sub-rule (8) shall be furnished on or before the due dates specified for furnishing the return of income under sub-section (1) of section 139. It is suggested that the time period for submission of form 67 for claiming Foreign	Procedural lapse should not be basis of denying the foreign tax credit claimed by genuine taxpayers. Otherwise, it would lead to unnecessary litigation. Even in a recent Bangalore Tribunal decision, foreign tax credit was allowed in case of delay of filing of Form 67 after the date of furnishing return of income.

Sr. No.	Issues	Recommendations	Justifications
		Tax Credit should be permitted even during	
		the process of assessment, as the correct	
		FTC can be ascertained at that time only.	
ח פו	hipping income – Section 44B and 172	1 10 can be ascertained at that time only.	
D. 31	impping income – Section 44B and 172		
16.6	The provisions of the above sections are almost similar, although both sections apply to different manners of doing businesses.	Section 44B can be brought on par with section 172.	To avoid difficulties for the payers and recipients in operations of other provisions of Income-tax Act.
	(Section 172 applies to non-residents undertaking occasional shipping activity. Section 44B applies to non-residents undertaking regular shipping activities.)	Alternatively, at least for the payer, a similar exemption from TDS may be provided u/s 44B as u/s 172.	
	This difference in section creates some difficulties in operations of other provisions of Income-tax Act – Some examples are:		
	1) Circular 30 dated 26.8.2016 provides that Annual NOC issued by jurisdictional AO may be accepted in case the shipping company is eligible for DTA relief. There is no requirement of voyage NOC. This Circular is issued for Section 172 and not 44B.		
	2) Payer of shipping freight is exempt from TDS if shipping company is covered under section 172 (Circular: <i>No.</i> 723, dated 19-9-1995.); whereas if the shipping company is covered under		

Sr. No.	Issues	Recommendations	Justifications
NO.			
	section 44B, there is no exemption from TDS.		
	3) Further the recipient may be liable to		
	advance tax provisions depending under		
	which section it is covered.		
E. Tr	ansfer Pricing		
16.7	Section 92CE – Secondary Adjustments	Section 92CE should be deleted wef 1-4-2022	Section 92CE is not in accordance with international best practice. Hardly any other
		Alternatively, the threshold for applicability of Section 92CE should either be increased to Rs. 10 Crores of primary adjustment or should be amended to a minimum of Rs. 2 Crore of secondary adjustment.	country has such a practice. Further, the Companies Act, 2013 also does not have explicit provisions relating to 'adjustments' in the books of accounts of the assesse. In any case, Non-discrimination Article in the DTAAs could be invoked by the non-resident entities.
			On another front, reciprocal secondary adjustments by the other countries may not be beneficial for India and would hurt the Government's initiative of enhancing ease of doing business in India.
			Secondary adjustment would also create major issues under FEMA, as the obligation to receive foreign income is created without the consent or agreement of the foreign party which may refuse to pay such enhanced value.
	Transfer pricing provisions apply to international transactions without any	We suggest that international transactions below Rs. 10 crores should not be covered	Transfer pricing provisions are very subjective.
	international transactions without any	below its. To croles should not be covered	

Sr.	Issues	Recommendations	Justifications
No.			
16.8	threshold	within transfer pricing rules.	Determination of ALP cannot be objective.
		Alternatively in Rule 10D(2), the exisitng limit of Rs 1 crore should be enhanced to	A threshold will go a long way to reduce compliance costs and burden for small
		Rs 10 crores.	assessees.
			We suggest that there should be a threshold
			above which the provisions should apply. No threshold creates difficulties for small assessees.
16.9	There is an overlap of provisions which	It may be provided that where the fair value	To avoid the overlap of provisions which may
16.9	prescribe income computation and Transfer Pricing. For example, if an Associated	basis for computation of income is prescribed under any provision of Income-	result in irrelevant computation.
	Enterprise (AE) purchases Indian company's shares from its group company, income has	tax Act, computation of ALP will not be required.	
	to be computed under section $56(2)(x)$ if	·	
	purchase price is less than the fair value.	In the Transfer Pricing audit report, the fair value as prescribed under the respective	
	Section 56(2)(x) itself prescribed the fair value computation.	sections, may be reported as ALP.	
	Then to further compute the ALP under		
	Transfer Pricing rules is not relevant.		
	Sec 92A- the Word "Control" not defined.	It is suggested that the same should be	It would be easy to understand and reduce
16.1 0		defined	unnecessary litigation due to difference in interpretation.
F. Ta	ax Residency Certificate		
	An Indian resident is required to give a TRC		Providing a TRC to Indian residents is directly
16.1	to the non-resident for receiving income from	An application can be made online and after	beneficial to India. A person is not seeking any
1	the non-resident. It takes about 2 months or	basic checks, a TRC can be issued within	exemption. By giving a TRC, the other country

Sr. No.	Issues	Recommendations	Justifications
G. Sic	more for getting a TRC.	24 hours. This is especially true for companies and other entities incorporated/ registered in India. Suitable amendment may be made in the law / rules.	will levy less tax. Resident will get more funds.
	Explanation 2A to section 9(1)(i)-Significant Economic Presence	 a) In line with deferral by way of FA 2020, SEP provisions should be deferred in their applicability yet again to FY 2024-25, i.e., AY 2025-26. b) SEP provisions should be limited in their applicability to only "highly digitalised businesses" which can be defined as those businesses which are significantly dependent on online business models for running their business. c) SEP provisions should be amended to remove the reference to 'property' thus restricting the effect to goods and services. 	 As per Memorandum to Finance Bill 2020, SEP provisions were deferred since discussion on this issue was still going on in G20-OECD BEPS project at that time. The provisions were deferred to be applicable from assessment year 2022-23 (previous year 2021-2022) as the G20-OECD report was expected by the end of December 2020. This report had not come out by December 2020. India has recently agreed to the Two-Pillar Solution to Address the Tax Challenges Arising from the Digitalisation of the Economy along with other countries which is a culmination of the BEPS Project on Action Plan 1. The provisions will be agreed upon only by December 2023. In the view of the above delay, SEP provisions should again be deferred to AY 2025-2026 by when the final provisions would be

Sr.	Issues	Recommendations	Justifications
No.			
			 In any case, the widely drafted provisions make them applicable to almost every transaction of goods, services or property. The idea was to rein in highly digitalised businesses
			and not all businesses. To that end, the provisions should be limited in their applicability to highly digitalised businesses.
	Explanation 3A to section 9(1)(i)	At the outset, this enhanced scope should be deleted and should only apply in case of profit attribution to the significant economic presence.	Enhanced scope brings in unintended consequences. In order to avoid ambiguity, terms such as 'resides' and 'data' should be adequately defined.
		b. Some of the terms used in the section are ambiguous and may lead to unintended consequences or harm to the assessees. For example, in the absence of definition of the term 'data' sale of goods using any information from an Indian resident may be covered, which is not the intention of introduction of the rule.	
		d) Further, the enhanced source rule	

Sr.	Issues	Recommendations	Justifications
No.			
		does not seek to tax only advertising through online modes but may also cover print media.	
H. Inc	lirect transfers		
16.1 3	Section 9(1)(i) Explanation 6 and 7	a. Explanations 6 and 7 to Section 9(1)(i) should be introduced retrospectively from 1st April 1962, in line with Explanation 5 to Section 9(1)(i).	The suggestion for retrospective effect of Explanations 6 and 7 to Section 9(1)(i) is to make the Explanation 5 workable
		b. Explanation 7 should provide exemption to all transferors not holding voting power or share capital or interest exceeding 26 per cent. This is in line with the Shome Committee recommendation and 5% is too low a threshold.	
16.1 4	Furnishing of information or documents Section 285A	a) The reporting obligation under Section 285A, on Indian companies to gather information on the off-shore transfers is onerous and needs to be simplified. Reporting obligations must be restricted to the concerned transferor, and penalties must be a fixed sum of Rs. 100,000 in line with other provisions, instead of at 2% of transaction value.	
		b) Further, the reporting obligations under Section 285A, should not arise in case the benefit of exemption as per	

Sr.	Issues	Recommendations	Justifications	
No.				
		Explanation 7 to Section 9(1)(i) is availed i.e. income not considered as deemed to arise or accrue in India.		
16.1 5	Exemption u/s 56(2)(x) Exemption in specified situations of mergers and demergers has been granted to companies receiving shares of another company at a value which is less than the fair value. The exemption is in case of Indian situations (i.e. where the amalgamated company, resultant company, etc. is in India). Similar exemption is not available to indirect transfers.	We submit that a similar exemption be provided for indirect transfer.	To bring uniformity in approach.	
I. Tax	ation of Foreign dividends under Section 11	5BBD of the Act		
16.1 6	The benefit of reduced rate of tax on dividends as per Section 115BBD of the Act is available only to Indian companies and not to other persons.	We suggest that the benefit under the section should also be extended to all persons.	To bring uniformity in principles and approach which would help in removing ambiguity in application of the provisions.	
J. Dis	J. Dispute Resolution			
16.1 7	Authority of Advance Ruling Chapter XIX-B Sections 245N to 245V	 Prescribe mandatory time limit for passing the AAR order- i.e., within 180 days from the end of month in which application is filed. 		

Sr. No.	Issues	Recommendations	Justifications
		2. The transaction limits and fees for approaching AAR by Resident tax payer should be revisited as they are quite high – Reduction will help to broad base AAR which can significantly help to mitigate litigation which will help in enhancing the Ease of doing business.	
		3. In order to expedite disposal, the admission process can be dispensed with and cases can be heard in one go – Only technical conditions can be verified by the Secretariat based on which application to be admitted or rejected. Other objections of Revenue can be heard at time of final hearing.	
		 It is imperative to notify that the rulings of the AAR- would be appealable directly to the Supreme Court. 	
16.1 8	First Appellate Authority ('FAA') - Commissioner of Income-tax (Appeals) (CIT(A)) and Dispute Resolution Panel ('DRP')	 The present first appellate structure involving DRP and CIT(A) should be overhauled - Replaced by single DRP route (i.e. panel consisting of 3 members). 	
		 DRP constitution – One Chief Commissioner and two CITs - Only CITs having experience of working at ITAT be considered - APA commissioners can 	

Sr.	Issues	Recommendations	Justifications
No.			
		be appointed as member for specialised TP Panels - CCITs/CITs should not be the administrative commissioners.	
		3. Cases involving additions below Rs. 50 lakh could be decided by a single CIT instead of the Panel. All the other cases involving addition above Rs. 50 lakhs involving Transfer Pricing and International Tax issues should be decided by the DRP.	
		 Strict timelines for hearing/ disposing of appeals filed before panel – 12 months from the date of filing of appeal. 	
		5. On appeal pending before DRP - Tax officers not to press demand recovery - or as a standard practice, stay to be granted on payment of 15% demand - DRP should have power to grant stay in bonafide cases.	
		6. Guidelines to be set for issuance of remand report - not more than 60 days from receipt of intimation.	
		Designated Board member to monitor functioning of DRPs.	
16.1	Income-tax Appellate Tribunal	Create specialized benches at all locations – for TP, International Tax [IT]	

Sr.	Issues	Recommendations	Justifications
No.			
9		and repetitive dispute areas of law. 2. Before newly appointed ITAT Members start sitting on benches, there should be an orientation programme undertaken for them whereby training is provided to them for functioning as tribunal members and also provide knowledge as to TP/ IT issues. This will help in reducing pendency.	
		 Capacity building/ regular trainings etc. to be given to Members/ CIT(DR)s. 	
		 All the TP and IT matters, are high value matters and are more fact base, hence require more time for preparation than normal matter - Hence there should be 2-2 CIT(DR)s for TP and IT benches instead of 1 deputed at this point to have effective hearings and avoid probability of bench collapsing in absence of CIT(DR) and hence help in reducing pendency. 	
		 Also, additional permanent CIT(DR)s should be appointed for effective functioning of ITAT. 	
		6. Strengthening administrative support by providing Officer level support to bench	

members to help them function effectively i.e. write orders in time. Similar and Inspector level support to DR's to also help them to effectively prepare for the matters. K. Requirement to obtain Tax Residency Certificate – Introduction of threshold Requirement to obtain Tax Residency Sec. 90(2) provides that in respect of an assessee to whom a DTAA applies, the provisions of the Act shall apply to the extent they are more beneficial to the assessee. However, for this purpose, a Tax Residency Certificate – Hortoduction of threshold. Tax Residency Certificate (TRC) is required to be furnished by the claimant. Sub-section (4) applies to all non-residents irrespective of the level of income and the nature thereof. This creates unintended hardship to both non-resident recipient and the resident payer even where amounts involved are not very large and also creates a negative image of the country as it involves time and cost to obtain such Tax Residency Certificate. This also	Sr.	Issues	Recommendations	Justifications
members to help them function effectively i.e. write orders in time. Similar and Inspector level support to DR's to also help them to effectively prepare for the matters. K. Requirement to obtain Tax Residency Certificate – Introduction of threshold Requirement to obtain Tax Residency Certificate – Introduction of threshold. Sec. 90(2) provides that in respect of an assessee to whom a DTAA applies, the provisions of the Act shall apply to the extent they are more beneficial to the assessee. However, for this purpose, a Tax Residency Certificate (TRC) is required to be furnished by the claimant. Sub-section (4) applies to all non-residents irrespective of the level of income and the nature thereof. This creates unintended hardship to both non-resident recipient and the resident payer even where amounts involved are not very large and also creates a negative image of the country as it involves time and cost to obtain such Tax Residency Certificate. This also				
effectively i.e. write orders in time. Similar and Inspector level support to DR's to also help them to effectively prepare for the matters. K. Requirement to obtain Tax Residency Certificate – Introduction of threshold Requirement to obtain Tax Residency Certificate – Introduction of threshold Sec. 90(2) provides that in respect of an assessee to whom a DTAA applies, the provisions of the Act shall apply to the extent they are more beneficial to the assessee. However, for this purpose, a Tax Residency Certificate (TRC) is required to be furnished by the claimant. Sub-section (4) applies to all non-resident irrespective of the level of income and the nature thereof. This creates unintended hardship to both non-resident recipient and the resident payer even where amounts involved are not very large and also creates a negative image of the country as it involves time and cost to obtain such Tax Residency Certificate. This also				
Similar and Inspector level support to DR's to also help them to effectively prepare for the matters. K. Requirement to obtain Tax Residency Certificate – Introduction of threshold Requirement to obtain Tax Residency Sec. 90(2) provides that in respect of an assessee to whom a DTAA applies, the provisions of the Act shall apply to the extent they are more beneficial to the assessee. However, for this purpose, a Tax Residency Certificate (TRC) is required to be furnished by the claimant. Sub-section (4) applies to all non-residents irrespective of the level of income and the nature thereof. This creates unintended hardship to both non-resident recipient and the resident payer even where amounts involved are not very large and also creates a negative image of the country as it involves time and cost to obtain such Tax Residency Certificate. This also			members to help them function	
DR's to also help them to effectively prepare for the matters. K. Requirement to obtain Tax Residency Certificate – Introduction of threshold Requirement to obtain Tax Residency Certificate – Introduction of threshold. Sec. 90(2) provides that in respect of an assessee to whom a DTAA applies, the provisions of the Act shall apply to the extent they are more beneficial to the assessee. However, for this purpose, a Tax Residency Certificate (TRC) is required to be furnished by the claimant. Sub-section (4) applies to all non-residents irrespective of the level of income and the nature thereof. This creates unintended hardship to both non-resident recipient and the resident payer even where amounts involved are not very large and also creates a negative image of the country as it involves time and cost to obtain such Tax Residency Certificate. This also			effectively i.e. write orders in time.	
K. Requirement to obtain Tax Residency Certificate – Introduction of threshold 16.2 O Requirement to obtain Tax Residency Certificate – Introduction of threshold. Sec. 90(2) provides that in respect of an assessee to whom a DTAA applies, the provisions of the Act shall apply to the extent they are more beneficial to the assessee. However, for this purpose, a Tax Residency Certificate (TRC) is required to be furnished by the claimant. Sub-section (4) applies to all non-residents irrespective of the level of income and the nature thereof. This creates unintended hardship to both non-resident recipient and the resident payer even where amounts involved are not very large and also creates a negative image of the country as it involves time and cost to obtain such Tax Residency Certificate. This also			Similar and Inspector level support to	
K. Requirement to obtain Tax Residency Certificate – Introduction of threshold Requirement to obtain Tax Residency Certificate – Introduction of threshold. Sec. 90(2) provides that in respect of an assessee to whom a DTAA applies, the provisions of the Act shall apply to the extent they are more beneficial to the assessee. However, for this purpose, a Tax Residency Certificate (TRC) is required to be furnished by the claimant. Sub-section (4) applies to all non-residents irrespective of the level of income and the nature thereof. This creates unintended hardship to both non-resident recipient and the resident payer even where amounts involved are not very large and also creates a negative image of the country as it involves time and cost to obtain such Tax Residency Certificate. This also			DR's to also help them to effectively	
Requirement to obtain Tax Residency Certificate – Introduction of threshold. Sec. 90(2) provides that in respect of an assessee to whom a DTAA applies, the provisions of the Act shall apply to the extent they are more beneficial to the assessee. However, for this purpose, a Tax Residency Certificate (TRC) is required to be furnished by the claimant. Sub-section (4) applies to all non-residents irrespective of the level of income and the nature thereof. This creates unintended hardship to both non-resident recipient and the resident payer even where amounts involved are not very large and also creates a negative image of the country as it involves time and cost to obtain such Tax Residency Certificate. Tit is therefore strongly suggested that the threshold, of say Rs. one crore in aggregate for a single payer per annum, be specified as a trackening to obtain a Tax Residency Certificate. Tax Residency Certificate. Tax Residency Certificate.			prepare for the matters.	
16.2 Certificate – Introduction of threshold. assessee to whom a DTAA applies, the provisions of the Act shall apply to the extent they are more beneficial to the assessee. However, for this purpose, a Tax Residency Certificate (TRC) is required to be furnished by the claimant. Sub-section (4) applies to all non-residents irrespective of the level of income and the nature thereof. This creates unintended hardship to both non-resident recipient and the resident payer even where amounts involved are not very large and also creates a negative image of the country as it involves time and cost to obtain such Tax Residency Certificate. threshold, of say Rs. one crore in aggregate for a single payer per annum, be specified applicability of this provision relating to obtain a Tax Residency Certificate.	K. Re	quirement to obtain Tax Residency Certifica	te – Introduction of threshold	
provisions of the Act shall apply to the extent they are more beneficial to the assessee. However, for this purpose, a Tax Residency Certificate (TRC) is required to be furnished by the claimant. Sub-section (4) applies to all non-residents irrespective of the level of income and the nature thereof. This creates unintended hardship to both non-resident recipient and the resident payer even where amounts involved are not very large and also creates a negative image of the country as it involves time and cost to obtain such Tax Residency Certificate.		Requirement to obtain Tax Residency	Sec. 90(2) provides that in respect of an	It is therefore strongly suggested that the
extent they are more beneficial to the assessee. However, for this purpose, a Tax Residency Certificate (TRC) is required to be furnished by the claimant. Sub-section (4) applies to all non-residents irrespective of the level of income and the nature thereof. This creates unintended hardship to both non-resident recipient and the resident payer even where amounts involved are not very large and also creates a negative image of the country as it involves time and cost to obtain such Tax Residency Certificate.	16.2	Certificate - Introduction of threshold.	assessee to whom a DTAA applies, the	threshold, of say Rs. one crore in aggregate from
assessee. However, for this purpose, a Tax Residency Certificate (TRC) is required to be furnished by the claimant. Sub-section (4) applies to all non-residents irrespective of the level of income and the nature thereof. This creates unintended hardship to both non-resident recipient and the resident payer even where amounts involved are not very large and also creates a negative image of the country as it involves time and cost to obtain such Tax Residency Certificate.	0		provisions of the Act shall apply to the	a single payer per annum, be specified for
Residency Certificate (TRC) is required to be furnished by the claimant. Sub-section (4) applies to all non-residents irrespective of the level of income and the nature thereof. This creates unintended hardship to both non-resident recipient and the resident payer even where amounts involved are not very large and also creates a negative image of the country as it involves time and cost to obtain such Tax Residency Certificate. This also			extent they are more beneficial to the	applicability of this provision relating to obtaining
be furnished by the claimant. Sub-section (4) applies to all non-residents irrespective of the level of income and the nature thereof. This creates unintended hardship to both non-resident recipient and the resident payer even where amounts involved are not very large and also creates a negative image of the country as it involves time and cost to obtain such Tax Residency Certificate. This also			assessee. However, for this purpose, a Tax	a Tax Residency Certificate.
(4) applies to all non-residents irrespective of the level of income and the nature thereof. This creates unintended hardship to both non-resident recipient and the resident payer even where amounts involved are not very large and also creates a negative image of the country as it involves time and cost to obtain such Tax Residency Certificate. This also			Residency Certificate (TRC) is required to	
of the level of income and the nature thereof. This creates unintended hardship to both non-resident recipient and the resident payer even where amounts involved are not very large and also creates a negative image of the country as it involves time and cost to obtain such Tax Residency Certificate. This also			be furnished by the claimant. Sub-section	
thereof. This creates unintended hardship to both non-resident recipient and the resident payer even where amounts involved are not very large and also creates a negative image of the country as it involves time and cost to obtain such Tax Residency Certificate. This also			(4) applies to all non-residents irrespective	
to both non-resident recipient and the resident payer even where amounts involved are not very large and also creates a negative image of the country as it involves time and cost to obtain such Tax Residency Certificate. This also			of the level of income and the nature	
resident payer even where amounts involved are not very large and also creates a negative image of the country as it involves time and cost to obtain such Tax Residency Certificate. This also			thereof. This creates unintended hardship	
involved are not very large and also creates a negative image of the country as it involves time and cost to obtain such Tax Residency Certificate. This also			to both non-resident recipient and the	
a negative image of the country as it involves time and cost to obtain such Tax Residency Certificate. This also			resident payer even where amounts	
involves time and cost to obtain such Tax Residency Certificate. This also			involved are not very large and also creates	
Residency Certificate. This also			a negative image of the country as it	
			Residency Certificate. This also	
			substantially affects business environment.	

Code for Rationale

- I Equity and Fairness
- II Certainty
- III Convenience of payment
- IV Economy of collection
- V Simplicity
- VI Neutrality
- VII Economic Growth and efficiency
- VIII Transparency and visibility
- IX Minimum Tax Gap
- X Appropriate Government Revenues.



Bombay Chartered Accountants' Society

BCAS - A voluntary organization of Chartered Accountants' established on 6th July 1949, has 9,000+ members from all over the country. Having published the BCA Journal for over 50 years, it has pioneered professional learning through publications, seminars, workshops, RRC's and interactive techniques. BCAS Foundation runs RTI Clinics for free amongst other charitable initiatives.

This message (including any attachments) is intended only for the person(s) named in the message header. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender and delete the message. BCAS does not accept liability for any errors, which arise as a result of E-mail transmission, as E-mail transmission cannot be guaranteed to be secured of viruses.

