

Sr. No.	Current provision	Issue/ Dispute	Suggested solution/ amendment
1	S. 22 of CGST Act, 2017 –Requirement of ol	otaining registration in different States.	
	Currently, every supplier whose	Taxpayer's issue:	Suggested solution:
	aggregate turnover in a financial year on	Due to such a provision, the taxpayer is	In cases where the "turnover in a State or Union
	PAN India level exceeds Rs. 20 lakhs/ Rs.	liable to take registration in multiple states	Territory" does not exceed Rs.20 Lakhs or as the
	40 lakhs is required to obtain registration	even if he does not have significant business	case may be Rs. 40 Lakhs, and if the assessee is
	under GST law in every State from where	operations in that State. As a result, he has	already registered in any other State, the
	he is making a supply. Therefore, a person	to incur costs like renting a premise,	assessee may be given an option not to register
	who makes a supply of say, Rs. 100 from	engaging a local manager (as at times for	in that State/UT in which its turnover does not
	a State is also required to obtain GST	the purpose of registration, the department	exceed the above limits and the assessee may
	registration in such State.	also demand the details of locally based	be allowed to issue a tax invoice from any State
		authorised representative having the	in which the assessee holds the registration.
		address of that State) and a consultant,	
		maintaining books of accounts, etc. which	Suggested Amendment:
		are extremely disproportionate to the size	Following subsection (1A) may be incorporated
		of his business.	below section 22 (1)"(1A) Notwithstanding
			anything contained in (1), and section 24, a
		Department's concern :	supplier shall have the option to issue Tax



The Department's precious and limited Invoice and pay tax and other dues from any one resources are burdened with such low tax or more registrations under the same Income contributors ultimately resulting in higher Tax Permanent Account Number in respect of the supply of goods or services or both made tax collection costs. from that State or Union Territories in which his "turnover in State" or, as the case may be, "turnover in Union territory" does not exceed the Rupees 20 lakhs or, as the case may be, 10 lakhs and for the purpose of this Act, such supply shall be deemed to have been made from the place from where the Tax Invoice is issued by the supplier. For the removal of doubt, it is clarified that this sub-section shall not apply in the case where the "turnover in State" or "turnover in Union Territory" exceeds rupees 20 lakhs or, as the case may be, 10 lakhs.

2. S. 22 of CGST Act, 2017 – Clarifying the State "from where" the supply is made and, therefore, obtaining registration



Section 22(1) of the CGST Act requires an assessee to obtain registration in the State or Union Territory "from where" the supply is made.

Taxpayer's issue:

The question arises about the State or Union Territory "from where" a supplier has supplied goods or services. This requires determination of the location from where the goods or services are supplied. In the case of 'goods', there has been differing interpretation placed by authorities and businesses alike. In many cases, the State "from where" the goods are supplied has been interpreted to be the location of the goods themselves.

Section 2(15) of the IGST Act and section 2(71) of the CGST Act define 'location of supplier of service'. However, there is no definition of 'location of supplier' (although this term has been used in the IGST Act) or 'location of supplier of goods'. Introducing

Suggested solution:

Sections 7 and 8 of the IGST Act provide for the determination of the nature of supplies, that is, whether the supplies are inter-State supplies or intra-State supplies. Both these sections provide for classification of the supplies based on the 'location of the supplier' and not with reference to the location of the goods themselves. Therefore, it is evident that the location of the supplier will be the State or Union Territory from where the sale is made and where the supplier is required to obtain registration. Provisions of sections 10 and 11 of the IGST Act are also aligned to sections 7 and 8 thereof.

Suggested Amendment:

The following amendments are suggested to achieve the above objective.



these definitions will address the divergent	(a)	Introduce a new definition of 'location of
practice followed and bring clarity enabling		supplier' and 'location of supplier of
the tax payers to obtain registration in the		goods' as follows.
appropriate State or Union Territory.		"(xx) 'location of supplier' shall mean the
		'location of the supplier of goods' or as the
		case may be the 'location of the supplier
		of services' or both.
		"(xx)'location of the supplier of goods'
		means,—
		(a) where a supply is made from a place of
		business for which the registration has
		been obtained, the location of such place
		of business;
		(b) where a supply is made from a place
		other than the place of business for which
		registration has been obtained (a fixed
		establishment elsewhere), the location of
		such fixed establishment;
	l	



(c) where a supply is made from more than one establishment, whether the
place of business or fixed establishment, the location of the establishment most directly concerned with the provision of
the supply; and (d) in absence of such places, the location of the usual place of residence of the
supplier; Explanation: For the purpose of this
clause, 'supply' shall mean the contract or agreement of supply or, as the case may be, the invoice for the said supply."
(b) Amend section 22 of the CGST Act as follows:



	"22. Persons liable for registration.— (1)
	Every supplier shall be liable to be
	registered under this Act in the State or
	Union territory, other than special
	category States, from where he makes a
	taxable supply of goods or services or both
	if his aggregate turnover in a financial year
	exceeds twenty lakh rupees:
	Explanation: For the purpose of this
	section and section 23, the supply shall be
	deemed to have been made from the
	location of the supplier, the location of the
	supplier of goods or, as the case may be,
	the location of the supplier of services as
	defined in this Act and the Integrated
	Goods and Services Tax Act."
3 Proviso to S. 25(2) of CGST Act, 2017 – Re	gistration of Business Verticals and Places of Business



	Currently, the facility to obtain separate	Taxpayer's issue:	Suggested solution:
	GST registration for separate business	A person having multiple business verticals	Taxpayers should be permitted to obtain a
	verticals is not available	within the same premises is not allowed to	separate GST registration based on separate
		take separate GST registration. Due to this,	business verticals.
		all the transactions, records, and	
		documents of all the business verticals are	Suggested Amendment:
		examined and assessed as a single unit.	The proviso to S. 25(2) of CGST Act, 2017, maybe
			amended as under:
			Provided that a person having multiple places of
			business or having multiple business verticals in
			a place of business within the State or Union
			Territory may be granted a separate registration
			for each such place of business or, as the case
			may be, business verticals, subject to such
			conditions as may be prescribed.
4	S. 29(2) of CGST Act, 2017 and R. 21 of CGS	T Rules, 2017 – Cancellation of Registration	
•	Even for defaults like non-filing of returns,	Taxpayer's issue:	Suggested solution:
	inability to commence business, or some		



violation of the smallest provisions of law, the power of cancellation of registration has been given under this provision to the Superintendent.

In many cases, situations like non-furnishing of returns or non-commencement of business are temporary in nature and not necessarily with an intention to avoid the payment of tax. Cancellation of Registration has far-reaching implications on businesses. Many times registration is canceled even if the taxpayers have filed the return after receipt of notice u/ 29 This is causing unwanted fear in the minds of taxpayers as this provision can be used as a tool of harassment.

Department's concern:

The provisions relating to cancellation of registration are required in cases involving frauds or to keep in check inactive assessee.

- The power of cancellation of registration shall be granted only to the officer who is not below the rank of Joint Commissioner.
- 2. If the cancellation is attributable to reasons like non-furnishing of returns or non-commencement of business then registration may merely be suspended till the time assessee regularises his returns. As soon as the assessee regularises the return or gives a declaration of his intention to commence the business, the same may be restored.

Suggested Amendment:

Clause (a), (b), (c), and (d) to S. 29(2) of CGST Act, 2017 must be omitted.



			Cancellation may be kept limited to cases
			covered in clauses (i) to (iv) and clause (xii) of
			Section 122 (1) of the CGST Act if the amount of
			tax involved is more than 50 Lacs and the order
			passed in this regard has attained finality.
5	Section 29 and R. 21A(2) of CGST Rules, 2017 – Suspension of Registration		
	Currently, no intimation is issued or no	Taxpayer's issue:	Suggested solution:
	opportunity of being heard is allowed	GST registration of a taxpayer should not be	Intimation and Opportunities of being heard
	before the suspension of GST	suspended without an intimation and	should be provided before suspending the GST
	registration.	granting the opportunity of being heard.	registration.
			Suggested Amendment:
			R. 21A(2) of CGST Rules, 2017 must be amended
			to provide for the issue of intimation and
			affording an opportunity of being heard before
			the suspension of GST registration.



6 Section 30 and R. 23 of CGST Rules, 2017 – Revocation of Cancellation of Registration

Revocation of Registration (Rationalisation of provisions)

First Proviso to Rule 23 provides that no application for revocation shall be filed, if the registration has been canceled for the failure of the registered person to furnish returns, unless such returns are furnished and any amount due as tax, in terms of such returns, has been paid along with any amount payable towards interest, penalty and late fee in respect of the said returns

The department requires the assessee to provide various details like reconciliation of ITC as per GSTR-2A and GSTR-3B, Reconciliation between GSTR-1 and GSTR-3B, Payment of interest on cash component, etc., and till the time assessee makes the payment, the order or revocation of cancellation is not passed.

The law at present requires the assessee to file the returns on a self-assessment basis. Hence, so long as the assessee pays the tax amount mentioned in the returns (GSTR-3B) and files the pending returns, his application for revocation may be accepted.

Any verification of the details and recovery of tax, interest, the penalty for other reasons may be carried out as separate proceedings and the same may not be linked to the revocation proceedings.

Further, the assessee should first be permitted to file the revocation application before filing the returns and make payment of tax, although the application may be processed only after the filing of the returns. The time limit of 30 days



should be permitted to file the return and make
the payment of tax after the filing of the
application for revocation
Accordingly, it is suggested that First Proviso to
Rule 23 be substituted with the following:
"Provided that no revocation shall be made if
the registration has been canceled for the
failure of the registered person to furnish
returns unless such returns are furnished, and
any amount of tax declared in terms of the said
returns (GSTR-3B) are paid not later than 30
days from the date of filing of the application for
revocation or the date of order passed in terms
of Rule 23(2) (b) whichever is later."